Planning Staff Analysis

FR23-0003/ Glenwood Meadows Phase II City Council District #4 Planning & Zoning Commission

REQUEST:

Final Replat for an approximately 10.285-acre tract.

APPLICANT:

Brighton Yau, on behalf of LJA Engineering.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends denial because the proposed Final Replat fails to meet the established approval criteria, as shown in the following table:

Final Plat Approval Review Criteria

proval Criteria Applicable to all Applications (DDC Section 2.4.5.E)		Compliance	
	Met	Not Met	N/A
a. Unless otherwise specified in this DDC, City review and decision-making bodies must review all development applications submitted pursuant to this subchapter for compliance with the general review criteria stated below. Findings: The Final Replat does not meet all review criteria, as detailed in Approval Criteria 4 and 10 below and the following items as			
required by the Final Plat Checklist (FPC) authorized per Denton Development Code Section 2.4.4B: 1. Provide the city assigned project number (FR23-0003) in the title block. (FPC 2.2) 2. Provide written scale. (FPC 2.7) 3. Indicate the monument size shown in the POINT OF BEGINNING (FPC 2.9) 4. Show all line types in legend (FPC 2.12) 5. Provide notes indicating how adequate water and wastewater service will be provided for the development. FPC 2.15) 6. If water and/or sewer service will be provided through the City of Denton, include a note stating that the site will be served through City of Denton water/sewer. (FPC 2.16) 7. Depict all overhead electric distribution and transmission line clearance zones intersecting or adjacent to the property. (FPC 2.22). 8. Provide a clear 3" by 3" space in the lower right-hand corner of the plat for County filing information. (FPC 2.24). 9. Ghost" in the previously approved lot lines. (FPC 3.2)			

Approval (Criteria Applicable to all Applications (DDC Section 2.4.5.E)	Compliance		
		Met	Not Met	N/A
	 10. Include the areas expressed in square feet and acres for each lot. (FPC 3.3). 11. Correct areas of overlapping text for all lot dimensions. (FPC 3.4). 12. Include total area of the plat in square feet. Only acreage was provided. (FPC 5.3). 13. Provide the purpose of the plat in a plat note. (FPC 5.8). 14. Abandoned easements to be displayed via hatching pattern. (FPC 3.5). 15. Dimension all existing R.OW., Provide for R.O.W. and half-width R.O.W along Ridgehurst Lane and Bonnie Brae (Checklist 4.3). 			
b.	The application may also be subject to additional review criteria specific to the type of application, as set forth in sections 2.5 through 2.9. Findings: The Final Plat does not meet all review criteria, as detailed in Approval Criteria 4 and 10 below.		\boxtimes	
с.	If there is a conflict between the general review criteria in this section and the specific review criteria in sections 2.5 through 2.9, the applicable review criteria in sections 2.5-2.9 controls. Findings: There is no conflict between the general review criteria and specific review criteria.			×
2. Pri	or Approvals			
a.	The proposed development shall be consistent with the terms and conditions of any prior land use approval, plan, development agreement, or plat approval that is in effect and not proposed to be changed. This includes an approved phasing plan for development and installation of public improvements and amenities. Findings: The Final Replat is consistent with the previously approved overlay and density restrictions on the property.			

Approval Criteria Applicable to all Applications (DDC Section 2.4.5.E)		Compliance	e
	Met	Not Met	N/A
3. Consistent with Comprehensive Plan and Other Applicable Plans The proposed development shall be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and any applicable plans. Findings: Denton 2040 Comprehensive Plan's Future Land Use Map designates the subject property as Community Mixed Use. The proposed use is consistent with the designation.	\boxtimes		
a. The decision-making authority shall weigh competing plan goals, policies, and strategies Findings:			\boxtimes
b. May approve an application that furthers the overall goals of the Comprehensive Plan even if the development does not match the future land use designation in Comprehensive Plan. Findings:			\boxtimes
4. Compliance with this DDC			
 a. The proposed development shall comply with all applicable standards in this DDC, unless the standard is to be lawfully modified. Findings: In order for the proposed Final Replat to meet the criteria, the following corrections are required: 1. The drainage easement shown on lots 7 and 10 does not meet the minimum dimensions. (DDC 7.5.3.I). 2. Include homeowner's association covenants as they relate to the maintenance of the open space lots. (DDC 8.3.2.D). 		\boxtimes	
b. Compliance with these standards is applied at the level of detail required for the subject submittal. Findings: The subject submittal is a Final Replat, and it was reviewed based on the established checklist and requirements.	\boxtimes		
5. Compliance with Other Applicable Regulations			
a. The proposed development shall comply with all other city regulations and with all applicable regulations, standards, requirements, or plans of the federal or state governments and other	\boxtimes		

Approval Criteria Applicable to all Applications (DDC Section 2.4.5.E)		Compliance	e
	Met	Not Met	N/A
relevant jurisdictions. This includes, but is not limited to, wetlands, water quality, erosion control, and wastewater regulations. Findings:			
The Final Replat complies with all other regulations.			
6. Consistent with Interlocal and Development Agreements			
a. The proposed development shall be consistent with any adopted			
interlocal and applicable development agreements, and comply with the terms and conditions of any such agreements incorporated by reference into this DDC. Findings:			⊠
7. Minimizes Adverse Environmental Impacts			
a. The proposed development should be designed to minimize negative environmental impacts, and should not cause significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. Examples of the natural environment include water, air, noise, stormwater management, scenic resources, wildlife habitat, soils, and native vegetation. Findings:	\boxtimes		
There are no environmentally sensitive areas (ESAs) on this property.			
8. Minimizes Adverse Impacts on Surrounding Property			
a. The proposed development should not cause significant adverse impacts on surrounding properties. The results of the citizen participation process may be appropriately considered under this section. Findings: This Final Replat is not expected to cause any significant adverse impacts on surrounding properties.	\boxtimes		
9. Minimizes Adverse Fiscal Impacts a. The proposed development should not result in significant adverse			
fiscal impacts on the city. Findings: This development is not expected to result in significant]
adverse fiscal impacts on the city.	\boxtimes		
10. Compliance with Utility, Service, and Improvement Standards			

Approval Criteria Applicable to all Applications (DDC Section 2.4.5.E)		Compliance	e
	Met	Not Met	N/A
a. As applicable, the proposed development shall comply with federal, state, county, service district, city and other regulatory authority standards, and design/construction specifications for roads, access, drainage, water, sewer, schools, emergency/fire protection, and similar standards. Findings: The proposed replat is not compliant with all City regulations, as noted below:			
1. DDC 7.7.4.E.7.: "A notation must be placed on the preliminary plat, final plat, site plan, and building permit identifying the dbh of trees to be preserved and the location of the lots that contain preserved trees. The notation shall limit any future unauthorized land disturbing activity or construction that would impact and/or damage the tree(s) preserved."			
11. Provides Adequate Road Systems			
a. Adequate road capacity shall exist to serve the uses permitted under the proposed development, and the proposed uses shall be designed to ensure safe ingress and egress onto the site and safe road conditions around the site, including adequate access onto the site for fire, public safety, and EMS services. Findings: There is adequate road capacity to accommodate the proposed use.			
a. Adequate public service and facility capacity shall exist to accommodate uses permitted under the proposed development at the time the needs or demands arise, while maintaining adequate levels of service to existing development. Public services and facilities include, but are not limited to, roads, domestic water, sewer, schools, public safety, fire protection, utilities, libraries, and vehicle/pedestrian connections and access within the site and to adjacent properties. Findings: Current capacity can accommodate the proposed development.			
13. Rational Phasing Plan			
a. If the application involves phases, each phase of the proposed development shall contain all of the required streets, utilities, landscaping, open space, and other improvements that are required for that phase, and may not defer those improvements to subsequent phases.			\boxtimes

Approval Criteria Applicable to all Applications (DDC Section 2.4.5.E)	Compliance		
	Met	Not Met	N/A
Findings: There is no phasing is proposed for this replat.			

Final Plat Review Applicability Criteria (DDC Section 2.6.4.D)	Applicability		
	Met	Not Met	N/A
14. Whether the final plat conforms to the preliminary plat, including any conditions of approval. Findings: This is a replat of a previously approved final plat. A preliminary plat is not required for replats.			\boxtimes
15. Whether the development will substantially comply with all requirements of this DDC. Findings: The Final Replat is subject to the required corrections outlined herein.		×	
16. Whether the development will comply with the applicable technical standards and specifications adopted by the City. Findings: The proposed development will comply with all applicable standards and specifications adopted by the city which are applicable to a Final Replat.			