Planning Staff Analysis

FP23-0002a/ M-380 Addition City Council District #3 Planning & Zoning Commission

REQUEST:

Final Plat for an approximately 17.525-acre tract.

APPLICANT:

Alex Camunez with Pacheco Koch on behalf of owners A&A Denton Properties, LP.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends denial of this plat as it fails to meet the approval criteria for final plats, as shown in the following table:

Final Plat Approval Review Criteria

Approval	Criteria Applicable to all Applications (DDC Section 2.4.5.E)	Compliance		e
		Met		
1. Ge	enerally			
a.	Unless otherwise specified in this DDC, City review and decision-making bodies must review all development applications submitted pursuant to this subchapter for compliance with the general review criteria stated below. Findings: The Final Plat meets all review criteria.			
b.	The application may also be subject to additional review criteria specific to the type of application, as set forth in sections 2.5 through 2.9. Findings: The Final Plat meets all review criteria.			
c.	If there is a conflict between the general review criteria in this section and the specific review criteria in sections 2.5 through 2.9, the applicable review criteria in sections 2.5-2.9 controls. Findings: There is no conflict between the general review criteria and the specific review criteria.			×
2. Pr	ior Approvals			
a.	The proposed development shall be consistent with the terms and conditions of any prior land use approval, plan, development agreement, or plat approval that is in effect and not proposed to be	\boxtimes		

Approval Criteria Applicable to all Applications (DDC Section 2.4.5.E)		Compliance	
	Met	Not Met	N/A
changed. This includes an approved phasing plan for development and installation of public improvements and amenities. Findings:			
The proposed Final Plat is consistent with the approved Preliminary Plat (PP22-0024). However, the civil engineering plans are still under review as of the time of this report and must be approved prior to plat approval per DDC Section 2.6.4C.2.			
3. Consistent with Comprehensive Plan and Other Applicable Plans The proposed development shall be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and any applicable plans. Findings:			
The Comprehensive Plan designates the subject property as Light Industrial. The proposed use is consistent with the designation.			
a. The decision-making authority shall weigh competing plan goals, policies, and strategies Findings: There are no competing plan goals, policies, and strategies concerning the proposed Final Plat.			\boxtimes
b. May approve an application that furthers the overall goals of the Comprehensive Plan even if the development does not match the future land use designation in Comprehensive Plan. Findings:			\boxtimes
4. Compliance with this DDC			
a. The proposed development shall comply with all applicable standards in this DDC, unless the standard is to be lawfully modified. Findings: The Final Plat complies with all other applicable standards in the DDC.		\boxtimes	

Approval Criteria Applicable to all Applications (DDC Section 2.4.5.E)		Compliance	e
	Met	Not Met	N/A
b. Compliance with these standards is applied at the level of detail required for the subject submittal. Findings: The subject submittal is a final plat, and it was reviewed based on			
the checklist and requirements for a final plat.			Ш
5. Compliance with Other Applicable Regulations			
a. The proposed development shall comply with all other city regulations and with all applicable regulations, standards, requirements, or plans of the federal or state governments and other relevant jurisdictions. This includes, but is not limited to, wetlands, water quality, erosion control, and wastewater regulations. Findings: The Final Plat complies with all other regulations.	\boxtimes		
6. Consistent with Interlocal and Development Agreements			
a. The proposed development shall be consistent with any adopted interlocal and applicable development agreements, and comply with the terms and conditions of any such agreements incorporated by reference into this DDC. Findings:			×
7. Minimizes Adverse Environmental Impacts			
a. The proposed development should be designed to minimize negative environmental impacts, and should not cause significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. Examples of the natural environment include water, air, noise, stormwater management, scenic resources, wildlife habitat, soils, and native vegetation. Findings: The proposed development is not anticipated to cause adverse impacts on the natural environment.	\boxtimes		
8. Minimizes Adverse Impacts on Surrounding Property			
a. The proposed development should not cause significant adverse impacts on surrounding properties. The results of the citizen participation process may be appropriately considered under this section. Findings: The Final Plat is not expected to cause significant adverse impacts.	\boxtimes		
9. Minimizes Adverse Fiscal Impacts			

Approval Criteria Applicable to all Applications (DDC Section 2.4.5.E)		Compliance	e
	Met	Not Met	N/A
 a. The proposed development should not result in significant adverse fiscal impacts on the city. Findings: The development is not expected to result in significant adverse fiscal impacts. 			
10. Compliance with Utility, Service, and Improvement Standards			
 a. As applicable, the proposed development shall comply with federal, state, county, service district, city and other regulatory authority standards, and design/construction specifications for roads, access, drainage, water, sewer, schools, emergency/fire protection, and similar standards. Findings: The Final Plat complies with all standards and specifications. 	\boxtimes		
11. Provides Adequate Road Systems			
a. Adequate road capacity shall exist to serve the uses permitted under the proposed development, and the proposed uses shall be designed to ensure safe ingress and egress onto the site and safe road conditions around the site, including adequate access onto the site for fire, public safety, and EMS services. Findings: There is adequate road capacity to accommodate the proposed use.	\boxtimes		
12. Provides Adequate Public Services and Facilities			
a. Adequate public service and facility capacity shall exist to accommodate uses permitted under the proposed development at the time the needs or demands arise, while maintaining adequate levels of service to existing development. Public services and facilities include, but are not limited to, roads, domestic water, sewer, schools, public safety, fire protection, utilities, libraries, and vehicle/pedestrian connections and access within the site and to adjacent properties. Findings: Current capacity can accommodate the proposed development.			
13. Rational Phasing Plan			
a. If the application involves phases, each phase of the proposed development shall contain all of the required streets, utilities, landscaping, open space, and other improvements that are required for that phase, and may not defer those improvements to subsequent phases.			\boxtimes

proval Criteria Applicable to all Applications (DDC Section 2.4.5.E) Compliance		e	
	Met	Not Met	N/A
Findings: There is no phasing plan associated with the proposed development.			

al Plat Review Applicability Criteria (DDC Section 2.6.4.D)	Applicability		ity
	Met	Not Met	N/A
14. Whether the final plat conforms to the preliminary plat, including any conditions of approval. Findings: The Final Plat conforms to the Preliminary Plat. There were no conditions of approval for the Preliminary Plat.	\boxtimes		
15. Whether the development will substantially comply with all requirements of this DDC. Findings: The proposed development will comply with all requirements of the DDC.	\boxtimes		
16. Whether the development will comply with the applicable technical standards and specifications adopted by the City. Findings: The proposed development will comply with the applicable technical standards and specifications adopted by the City.	\boxtimes		