



# City Council Pending Requests for Information

## Two-Minute Pitch



# Work Session Processes

- Up to seven requests will be reviewed per meeting (one per Council Member)
- Staff will introduce each request
- The requesting Council Member will have up to two minutes to describe and justify their request
- Remaining Council Members will then have a maximum of one minute each to provide feedback and indicate their support for the use of City staff time to respond to the request
- Staff will respond to all requests where a consensus of at least four elected officials is established
- A consensus of six (6) Council Members is required for a resolution or ordinance to be placed on a future City Council agenda prior to a work session on the request.

# Work Session Process

- The Agenda Committee will assist in scheduling items receiving consensus based upon priority offered by Council Members, work session availability, and readiness of the item.
- As guidelines for Council Members to note and offer their priority while supporting an item, the following general categorization could be used to indicate any Council Member's support to assist staff and the Agenda Committee:
  - **High** – Time-sensitivity of the item is significant; the item is critical to the community or organization; and/or the item is of such importance that it should take precedence over other scheduled priorities, projects, or items.
  - **Moderate** – The item is somewhat time-sensitive; the item has a valuable impact to the community or organization; and/or the item is important but should be integrated into work plans accordingly.
  - **Low** – The item is not time-sensitive; the item has an impact, but it is limited; and/or the item should be scheduled into work plans where possible but should not affect or delay other scheduled work.

# Two - Minute Pitch

## **City Council Member disclosure of private meetings with developers**

**Requestor:** Mayor Pro Tem Stevens

**Council Member Request:** I am requesting a two minute pitch to have a work session on developing a policy that would require city councilors to disclose private meetings with developers that have pending matters before Council. This new policy is intended to promote greater transparency for our community. Priority Level: Medium.

**Date Requested:** June 24, 2026

**Format for Response:** Informal Staff Report

# Two - Minute Pitch

## Structural changes to Section 2-279 of the City's Ethics Ordinance

**Requestor:** Council Member Ferrie

**Council Member Request:** To keep things as streamlined as possible ahead of the work session discussions, my first 2-minute pitch will focus squarely on asking Council to direct staff to execute three core structural changes to Section 2-279 of the Ethics Ordinance:

- **Authorize Anonymous Complaints:** Amend Section 2-279 to explicitly allow for anonymous reporting, closing the legal gap that currently leaves staff vulnerable to retaliation under the Texas Whistleblower Act.
- **Shift the Burden of Proof:** Eliminate the requirement for employees to personally prosecute their own complaints at public hearings. Instead, establish an independent investigatory role (such as the City Auditor) to evaluate evidence behind closed doors and handle prosecution before the ethics commission.
- **Implement a Secure Hotline:** Contract with a neutral, third-party vendor to launch a secure, 24/7 confidential reporting hotline that scrubs digital footprints to guarantee anonymity.

Priority Level: High

**Date Requested:** July 1, 2026

**Format for Response:** Informal Staff Report

# Two - Minute Pitch

## **Temporary moratorium on new permit applications for multifamily development**

**Requestor:** Mayor Watts

**Council Member Request:** I would like to submit a two minute pick to discuss temporary moratorium on new permit applications for multifamily development.

**Date Requested:** July 2, 2026

**Format for Response:** Informal Staff Report