

### Water and Wastewater Impact Fee Update

January 2025







### Prepared for:



### Prepared by:

### Kimley » Horn

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. 801 Cherry Street, Unit 11, Suite 1300 Fort Worth, TX 76102 817.335.6511

TBPE Firm Registration Number: F-928 Project Number: 061024066

© Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.





### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>EXECUTIV</b>	/E SUMMARY		
CHAPTER	1 LAND USE ASSUMPTION	•••••	1
CHAPTER	2 WATER IMPACT FEE STUDY		13
CHAPTER	3 WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE STUDY		31
APPENDI	X		44
W	ATER FINANCIAL ANALYSIS		45
\\/	ASTEWATER FINANCIAL ANALYSIS		60







January 2025

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### **INTRODUCTION**

Impact Fees are a mechanism for funding the public infrastructure necessitated by new development. Across the country, they are used to fund police and fire facilities, parks, schools, roads, and utilities. In Texas, the legislature has allowed their use for roadway, drainage, water, and wastewater facilities. In 2023, the City of Denton began an update to the Water and Wastewater Impact Fees last updated in 2019.

In the most basic terms, Impact Fees are meant to recover the incremental cost of the impact of each new unit of development towards new infrastructure needs. Impact Fees are a mathematical calculation that determine a maximum fee that would be equivalent to growth paying for growth. This study's purpose is to calculate the maximum impact fee per service unit of new growth.

The Maximum Impact Fee is considered an appropriate measure of the impacts generated by a new unit of development on a City's infrastructure system. An impact fee program is anticipated to be designed so that it is **predictable** for both the development community and City. An impact fee program is **transparent**. This report describes in detail how the fee is calculated and how the Capital Improvements Advisory Committee (CIAC) monitors the Impact Fee program. An impact fee program is **flexible** in that funds can be used on priority projects and not just on projects adjacent to a specific development. An impact fee program is both **equitable** and **proportional** in that every new development pays an equal fee that is directly related to its systemwide impact.

### IMPACT FEE BASICS

### Service Areas

A Service Area is a geographic area within which a unique maximum impact fee is determined. All fees collected within the Service Area must be spent on eligible improvements within the same Service Area. For Water and Wastewater Impact Fees, a Service Area can be defined for both water and wastewater facilities that consists of the City Limits and extends throughout the Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ). Denton's water Impact Fees are distributed across three (3) services areas. Denton's current wastewater Impact Fees are distributed across a single service area. Due to the need for two additional wastewater treatment plants the City will move to three (3) wastewater services areas.





### Land Use Assumptions

The Impact Fee determination is required to be based on the projected growth and corresponding capacity needs in a 10-year window. This study considers the years 2024-2034 for the projected 10-year growth. Population growth during that period is projected to increase by approximately 114,000. This projection along with associated non-residential development set the basis for determining water demands and wastewater flows to serve new growth.

### Service Units

The "service unit" is a measure of consumption or use of the capital facilities by new development. In other words, it is the unit of measure used to quantify the supply and demand for utilities in the City. Service units are attributable to an individual unit of development and utilized to calculate the maximum impact fee of a development.

The service unit for water and wastewater Impact Fees is based on the size of the individual water meters used to serve growth related development. The base water service unit is the water demand associated with the smallest water meter issued for a new residential unit. The base wastewater service unit is the wastewater flow associated with the smallest water meter issued for a new residential unit. The smallest water meter issued for a new residential unit in the City of Denton is a 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch meter.

### Capital Improvement Plans

The City has identified the water and wastewater projects needed to accommodate the projected growth over the next ten (10) years. These projects include existing, proposed, and recently completed projects that were determined based on their current or anticipated impact on each defined service area.

### Water Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan

The Water Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan was developed based on recommended capital improvements outlined in the 2024 Water Master Plan, input from City Staff, and the population growth projections shown in the Land Use Assumptions. The recommended improvements will provide the required capacity and reliability to meet projected water demand through 2034. Elements of the water system, including treatment facilities, storage facilities, pumping facilities, transmission and distribution network were evaluated against industry standards as outlined in the Design Criteria sections of Water Impact Fee Chapter.

A total of nineteen (19) existing projects, thirty-one (31) proposed projects, and the Water Impact Fee Study were identified to develop the Water Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan. The total project cost (<u>not</u> impact fee eligible cost) to be evaluated is \$1,003,063,455.





### Wastewater Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan

Similar to the Water Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan, the Wastewater Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan was developed to address system improvements driven by growth. Elements of the wastewater system, including treatment, gravity pipes, force mains, and lift stations were evaluated against industry standards as outlined in the Design Criteria section of the Wastewater Impact Fee Chapter of this Report.

Seventeen (17) existing, forty-seven (47) proposed projects, and the Wastewater Impact Fee Study were identified to develop the Wastewater Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan. The total project cost (<u>not</u> impact fee eligible cost) to be evaluated is \$1,222,435,449.

### Recoverable Project Costs

Impact Fees are a one-time fee meant to recover the incremental cost of the impact of each new unit of development creating new infrastructure needs within a ten-year window. With this consideration, the maximum assessable impact fee does not specifically cover the entire cost of a water or wastewater project. The calculations that determine the percentage of a project's cost that is impact fee eligible are defined as the project's recoverable cost.

### Water Recoverable Project Costs

The recoverable costs for water projects are calculated by determining the increase in water demand due to growth over the 10-year window. The City's current and future water demand were utilized to calculate the percent utilization of each identified impact fee eligible project. The change in utilization of each project is multiplied by the total project cost to determine total recoverable project cost. The total recoverable costs for the water distribution system are \$535,273,791 (pre-finance).

### Wastewater Recoverable Project Costs

The recoverable costs for wastewater projects are calculated by determining the increase in wastewater flows due to growth over the 10-year window. The City's current and future flow projections were utilized to calculate the percent utilization of each identified impact fee eligible project. The change in utilization of each project is multiplied by the total project cost to determine total recoverable project cost. The total recoverable costs for the wastewater collection system are \$686,981,671 (pre-finance).

### Maximum Assessable Impact Fee Calculation

In simplest terms, the maximum impact fee allowable by law is calculated by dividing the recoverable cost of the Capital Improvement Plans by the number of new service units of development. In accordance with state law, both the cost of the Capital Improvement Plan and the number of new service units of development





used in the equation are based on the growth and corresponding capacity needs projected to occur within a 10-year window.

In practice, there are many factors that complicate this calculation. The 2025 maximum impact fee allowable by law for each service area is shown in the following table:

Water Maximum Impact Fee by Service Areas					
Service Area 1A Service Area 1B Service Area 2					
\$1,820 \$10,745 \$12,139					

Wastewater Maximum Impact Fee by Service Areas						
Pecan Creek Service Area	Hickory Creek Service Area	Clear Creek Service Area				
\$11,615	\$14,324	\$17,837				

### **Adoption Process**

Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code stipulates a specific process for the adoption of Impact Fees. A Capital Improvements Advisory Committee (CIAC) is required to review the Land Use Assumptions and the Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan used in calculating the maximum fee, and to provide the Committee's findings for consideration by the City Council. This CIAC also reviews the calculation and resulting maximum fees and provides its findings to the City Council. The composition of the CIAC is required to have adequate representation of the building and development communities. In Denton, the CIAC members include real estate, development, and building industry professionals including an ETJ representative. The City Council then conducts a public hearing on the Land Use Assumptions, Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan, and Impact Fee Ordinance.

Following policy adoption, the CIAC is tasked with advising the City Council of the need to update the Land Use Assumptions or the Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan at any time within five years of adoption. Finally, the CIAC oversees the proper administration of the Impact Fee, once in place, and advises the Council as necessary.

Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code requires a minimum of one (1) public hearing before Council to amend an existing impact fee program.

## CHAPTER

### LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS





### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code describes the procedure Texas cities must follow in order to create and implement Impact Fees. Senate Bill 243 (SB 243) amended Chapter 395 to define an Impact Fee as "a charge or assessment imposed by a political subdivision against new development in order to generate revenue for funding or recouping the costs of capital improvements or facility expansions necessitated by and attributable to the new development."

Chapter 395 mandates that Impact Fees be reviewed and updated at least every five (5) years. The City of Denton's Water and Wastewater Impact Fee Program was last updated in 2019. The City of Denton has initiated a review of its Land Use Assumptions, Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan, and Impact Fees. The City has retained Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. to provide professional services for the update to the adopted 2025 Water and Wastewater Impact Fee Study. The Land Use Assumptions, which include population and service unit projections, form the basis for the development of the Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plans for water and wastewater facilities.

In order to assess an impact fee, Land Use Assumptions must be developed to provide the basis for population and associated service unit growth projections within a political subdivision. As defined by Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code, these assumptions include a description of changes in land uses, densities, and service units in the service areas. In addition, these assumptions are useful in assisting the City of Denton in determining the need and timing of capital improvements to serve future development.

In accordance with Chapter 395, information from the following sources was compiled to complete the Land Use Assumptions:

- City of Denton's 2040 Comprehensive Plan;
- 2023 Wastewater Master Plan;
- 2024 Water Master Plan;
- City of Denton Planning and Development Services.





### 1.2 COMPONENTS OF THE LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS CHAPTER

The Land Use Assumptions include the following components

### METHODOLOGY AND 10-YEAR GROWTH ASSUMPTIONS

An overview of the general methodology used to generate the land use assumptions and walk through of the growth projections for 2024-2034.

### **IMPACT FEE STUDY AREAS**

Explanation of the distribution of service areas within Denton for water and wastewater facilities.

### LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS SUMMARY

A synopsis of the land use assumptions.





### 1.3 METHODOLOGY AND 10-YEAR GROWTH ASSUMPTIONS

The population growth projections formulated in this report were done using reasonable and generally accepted planning principles. The following documents and factors were considered in developing these projections:

- Character, type, density, location and quantity of existing development.
- Probable future developments.
- Availability of land for future expansion.
- The Future Land Use Plan illustrated in the 2040 Comprehensive Plan.
- Population Projections (from the 2023 Wastewater Master Plan and 2024 Water Master Plan).

The City provided land use type, density, and phasing (if available) for incoming developments, including large multi-phase developments. Developers provided land use type, density, and phasing for any incoming Municipal Utility Districts (MUDs) identified with the Extra Territorial Jurisdiction (ETJ). Known developments are shown on **Exhibit 1.1**. The phasing information of the larger multi-phase developments and MUDs is listed in **Table 1.1**.

**Table 1.1 Probable Future Developments** 

	Davidanment	Residen	tial Units
	Development	5-Year	10-Year
Multi-Phase	Hunter-Cole	3,899	7,400
	Legends	1,820	1,836
Development	Hickory Creek	0	1,500
	Rosebrook <sup>1,2</sup>	1,621	0
	Churchill	525	1,400
	Meadows	1,330	1,830
	Ponder Farms	525	592
MUD	Sanctuary	1,000	2,500
	Rockwood <sup>3</sup>	0	0
	Stonehill	0	0
	Tabor Ranch	2,220	2,820
	Webster Meadows	405	405
	Young Tracts	0	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Phasing updated from 2023 Wastewater Master Plan (WWMP) based on most recent data provided by developer

Growth projections detailed in Denton's Water and Wastewater Master Plans established growth trendlines based on the information from Table 1.1 and the results are illustrated on **Figure 1.1**. Average annual growth projections of 8.1% and 3.5% are shown for the next 5 and 10-year planning periods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The 2023 WWMP refers to Rosebrook as Astra

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The 2023 WWMP refers to Rockwood as Sherwood










Figure 1.1 City of Denton Growth Assumptions

Based on the 10-year growth projections, summarizing population and service units from 2023 to 2033, are projected to be:

- Population Growth = 114,000
- Water Service Unit Growth = 52,774
- Wastewater Service Unit Growth = 52,276

Future growth by land use type is based on the Future Land Use Plan (**See Exhibit 1.2**) shown in the 2040 Comprehensive Plan.










### 1.4 IMPACT FEE SERVICE AREAS


### **SERVICE AREA DEFINITION**

According to Chapter 395 of the Local Government Code, a Service Area refers to the area within the corporate boundaries or extraterritorial jurisdiction of the political subdivision to be served by the capital improvement or facilities specified in the Capital Improvement Plan. Funds collected in the specific service areas must be spent in the service area collected.

### WATER AND WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE SERVICE AREAS

The geographic boundary of the proposed water and wastewater impact fee service areas for water and wastewater facilities is shown in **Exhibit 1.3** and **Exhibit 1.4**, respectively. The water and wastewater impact fee service areas include the City Limits and extends throughout the ETJ.









### 1.5 DATA FORMAT

The population and service unit estimates were all compiled in accordance with the following categories and format:

### **IMPACT FEE SERVICE AREAS:**

Large zones, which correspond to the water and wastewater facilities service area (as described in the previous section).

### **SERVICE UNITS:**

Number of water and wastewater connections based on existing and proposed in the next 10-years.





### 1.6 SUMMARY

The following is the land use assumptions summary for the City of Denton utilized in the impact fee development. Base growth projections for the City of Denton, summarizing connections from 2023 to 2033, are projected to be:

- Water Service Area 1A = 1,616 Connections
- Water Service Area 1B = 29,427 Connections
- Water Service Area 2 = 21,731 Connections
- Water Wastewater Service Area Pecan Creek = 7,616 Connections
- Water Wastewater Service Hickory Creek = 35,412 Connections
- Wastewater Service Area Clear Creek = 9,248 Connections

# CHAPTER

WATER IMPACT FEE STUDY





### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

The City of Denton retained the services of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., for the purpose of updating the Impact Fees for water system improvements required to serve new development.

The purpose of this report is to satisfy the requirements of the law and provide the City with an impact fee capital improvements plan and associated Impact Fees.

For convenience and reference, the following is excerpted from Chapter 395 of the code:

- (a) The political subdivision shall use qualified professionals to prepare the capital improvements plan and to calculate the impact fee. The capital improvements plan must contain specific enumeration of the following items:
  - (1) a description of the existing capital improvements within the service area and the costs to upgrade, update, improve, expand, or replace the improvements to meet existing needs and usage and stricter safety, efficiency, environmental, or regulatory standards, which shall be prepared by a qualified professional engineer licensed to perform such professional engineering services in this state;
  - (2) an analysis of the total capacity, the level of current usage, and commitments for usage of capacity of the existing capital improvements, which shall be prepared by a qualified professional engineer licensed to perform such professional engineering services in this state;
  - (3) a description of all or the parts of the capital improvements or facility expansions and their costs necessitated by and attributable to new development in the service area based on the approved land use assumptions, which shall be prepared by a qualified professional engineer licensed to perform such professional engineering services in this state;
  - (4) a definitive table establishing the specific level or quantity of use, consumption, generation, or discharge of a service unit for each category of capital improvements or facility expansions and an equivalency or conversion table establishing the ratio of a service unit to various types of land uses, including but not limited to residential, commercial, and industrial;
  - (5) the total number of projected service units necessitated by and attributable to new development within the service area based on the approved land use assumptions and calculated in accordance with generally accepted engineering or planning criteria;
  - (6) the projected demand for capital improvements or facility expansions required by new service units projected over a reasonable period of time, not to exceed 10 years; and
  - (7) plan for awarding:





- (A) a credit for the portion of ad valorem tax and utility service revenues generated by new service units during the program period that is used for the payment of improvements, including the payment of debt, that are included in the capital improvements plan; or
- (B) in the alternative, a credit equal to 50 percent of the total project cost of implementing the capital improvements plan.

The impact fee study includes information from the 2024 Water System Master Plan being completed at this time. The Impact Fees are based on recommended capital improvements outlined in the Draft Water System Master Plan, input from City Staff, and the growth projections shown in the Land Use Assumptions Chapter of this report.

The study process was comprised of four tasks:

### LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS

The land use assumptions used for this report were created by Kimley-Horn with input provided by the City of Denton and the Denton 2040 Comprehensive Plan. The development of land use assumptions included the following:

- Establishing impact fee service areas;
- Collection/determination of service units service area; and
- Projection of the ten-year service units by service area.

A detailed discussion is outlined in the Land Use Assumptions Chapter of this report.

### **EVALUATION OF WATER SYSTEM MASTER PLAN**

This task involved reviewing the 2024 Water System Master Plan and its growth projection compatibility with the Land Use Assumptions. The water demand projections were then used to determine the additional service units.

### IMPACT FEE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN

This task involved reviewing the impact fee water capital improvements plan outlined in 2019 Impact Fee Study and the 2024 Water Master Plan. Discussions were also held with City Utility staff to identify projects that will be constructed in the 10-year planning window and meet the design criteria.

### **IMPACT FEE ANALYSIS AND REPORT**

This task included calculating the additional service units, and credit reduction. These values were then used to determine the impact fee per service unit and the maximum assessable water impact fee by meter size.





### 2.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

In accordance with Chapter 290 of the Texas Administrative Code (Public Drinking Water) and the design criteria in the 2024 Water Master Plan, the following design criteria is followed when planning for future water infrastructure.

### **WATER LINES**

Water distribution and transmission lines shall be sized to maintain a minimum of 35 pounds per square inch (psi) throughout the system during peak hour demands conditions. In addition to this, the transmission lines shall be designed for a maximum 3.0 feet per second.

### **STORAGE TANKS**

### (a) Elevated Storage Tanks

Elevated storage serves three purposes:

- Functionally, elevated storage equalizes the pumping rate to compensate for daily
  variations in demand and to maintain a fairly constant pumping rate (usually referred to
  as operational storage), or a pumping rate that conforms to the requirements of the
  electrical rate structure.
- Provides pressure maintenance and protection against surges created by instantaneous demand, such as fire flow and main breaks, and instantaneous change in supply, such as pumps turning on and off.
- Maintains a reserve capacity for fire protection and pressure maintenance in case of power failure to one or more pump stations. Sufficient storage should be maintained to provide four hours of fire flow demand during a loss of power to the pump station.

City criterion for elevated storage is provide sufficient capacity to satisfy ISO Fire Rating plus city wide maximum day demand.

Suggested storage capacity established by the TCEQ is adequate operational storage established by determining the required volume to equalize the daily fluctuations in flow during the maximum day demand, plus the reserve volume required for fire protection.

The minimum requirements for storage, according to Chapter 290 of the Texas Administrative Code, are as follows:

- Total Storage Equal to 200 gallons per connection.
- Elevated Storage Equal to 100 gallons per connection; or
- Elevated Storage Equal to 200 gallons per connection for a firm pumping capacity reduction from 2.0 gallons per connection to 0.6 gallons per connection.





### (b) Ground Storage Tanks

Ground storage serves two functions:

- Equalization for differing feed rates between the water supply and pumping to the system; and
- Emergency capacity in the event of temporary loss of water supply.

Generally, ground storage facilities are located at water supply points or at each pump station within the water distribution system. The design criteria recommended to size ground storage tank capacity within each pressure plane is to provide adequate storage volume to meet 8 hours of maximum day demand. In addition to these criteria for elevated and ground storage, the City must also meet TCEQ total storage capacity requirements of 200 gallons per connection.

### **PUMP STATIONS**

Pumping capacities must provide the maximum demand, or the peak hour demand required by the water system or the suggested capacities established by the TCEQ. Pumping capacity should supply the maximum demand with sufficient redundancy to allow for the largest pump at the pump station to be out of service. This is known as firm pumping capacity.

Each pump station or pressure plane must have two or more pumps that have a total capacity of 2.0 gallons per minute per connection, or have a total capacity of at least 1,000 gallons per minute and the ability to meet peak hour demand with the largest pump out of service, whichever is less. If the system provides elevated storage capacity of 200 gallons per connection, two service pumps with a minimum combined capacity of 0.6 gpm per connection are required.

### 2.3 IMPACT FEE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN

The purpose of the Water Master Plan is to provide the City with a logical strategy for upgrading and expanding its water distribution system to accommodate future growth and for addressing existing system deficiencies. The Water Master Plan and the recommended system improvements are developed to accommodate growth through the 25-year planning period. The Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan is developed using projects identified during the master planning process and through discussions with utility staff. State law only allows cost recovery associated with eligible projects in a ten (10) year planning window from the time of the impact fee study. The following details the projects and the eligible recoverable cost.

Nineteen (19) existing projects, thirty-one (31) proposed projects, and the Water Impact Fee Study are determined eligible for recoverable cost through impact fees over the next 10 years. The total cost of these projects is \$1,003,063,455. The projected total recoverable through impact fees is \$535,273,791. After debt service costs are added and the credit reduction calculation is complete, \$582,923,277 is





recoverable through Impact Fees serving the 10-year system needs. These impact fee capital improvements are shown in **Table 2.1** and illustrated in **Exhibit 2.1**.


Table 2.1 Water Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost

Project #	Description	2023 Required Capacity (Percent Utilization)	2033 Required Capacity (Percent Utilization)	2023-2033 Required Capacity (Percent Utilization)	2033 Projected Recoverable Cost	Total Project Cost
		EXISTIN	IG			
1	Lake Ray Roberts	90%	100%	10%	\$15,330,616	\$153,306,163
2	54" Finished Water Transmission Line	43%	100%	57%	\$5,467,370	\$9,590,299
3	Loop 288 Water Main - Sherman to UNT	35%	100%	65%	\$2,835,202	\$4,361,849
4	Loop 288 Water Main - Sherman to Hwy 380	43%	43%	0%	\$0	\$3,518,352
5	Northwest Elevated Storage Tank	55%	58%	2%	\$58,500	\$2,339,988
6	Southwest Pump Station	50%	100%	50%	\$2,956,001	\$5,912,002
7	Southwest PS Oversize Discharge Line (30" to 36")	32%	73%	41%	\$116,426	\$284,477
8	North-South Water Line Phase I	41%	51%	10%	\$603,860	\$6,038,601
9	Roselawn Elevated Storage Tank	73%	100%	27%	\$1,679,851	\$6,299,440
10	Roselawn Water Line	47%	57%	10%	\$1 <i>7</i> 9, <i>7</i> 36	\$1,797,363
11	Southwest Elevated Storage Tank	55%	58%	2%	\$135,257	\$5,410,280
12	North-South Water Line	26%	36%	10%	\$1,087,030	\$10,870,300
13	Allred Road / John Paine Road Water Lines	21%	56%	34%	\$2,033,143	\$5,930,000
14	McKinney Water Line	14%	21%	7%	\$85,757	\$1,200,600
15	Locust Water Line Upsize	9%	11%	3%	\$36,622	\$1,281, <i>77</i> 6
16	Hickory Water Line Upsize	19%	20%	1%	\$7,121	\$498,444
1 <i>7</i>	I-35E Frontage Water Line	21%	29%	7%	\$72,894	\$1,020,510
18	Northwest Booster Pump Station	15%	100%	85%	\$12,331,809	\$14,573,956
19	36" Northwest Water Line	12%	75%	63%	\$9,383,078	\$14,785,456
			Ex	isting Subtotal	\$54,400,271	\$249,019,855
		PROPOS	SED			
20	Lake Ray Roberts WTP Rerate to 30 MGD	0%	100%	100%	\$40,000,000	\$40,000,000
21	Lake Ray Roberts WTP 20 MGD Expansion to 50 MGD	0%	100%	100%	\$195,845,000	\$195,845,000
22	16" Northwest I-35 Frontage Rd Water Line	0%	54%	54%	\$2,442,960	\$4,524,000
23	16" I-35W/Corbin Water Line	0%	22%	22%	\$598,862	\$2,722,100
24	42" Jim Christal EST Transmission Main Oversize	0%	81%	81%	\$10,030,313	\$12,345,000
25	24/30" West Allred Rd Transmission Main Oversize	0%	100%	100%	\$742,000	\$742,000
26	16" Ponder Water Line	0%	52%	52%	\$2,249,520	\$4,326,000
27	24/30" Rosebrook/Sanctuary Transmission Main	0%	63%	63%	\$37,811,267	\$59,702,000
28	24" Rosebrook Transmission Main	0%	60%	60%	\$5,658,000	\$9,430,000
29	24" North/South Transmission Main	0%	87%	87%	\$20,291,267	\$23,413,000
30	12" Old Stoney Rd Water Line	0%	26%	26%	\$994,240	\$3,824,000
31	12" Rosebrook Water Line	0%	32%	32%	\$1,494,080	\$4,669,000
32	12" Cooper Creek Rd Water Line	0%	30%	30%	\$4,464,900	\$14,883,000
33	12" N Mayhill Rd Water Line	0%	22%	22%	\$959,860	\$4,363,000
34	12" Duchess Dr Water Line	0%	30%	30%	\$655,500	\$2,185,000





Project #	Description	2023 Required Capacity (Percent Utilization)	2033 Required Capacity (Percent Utilization)	2023-2033 Required Capacity (Percent Utilization)	2033 Projected Recoverable Cost	Total Project Cost
35	12" Shady Oaks Dr Water Line	0%	36%	36%	\$1,097,280	\$3,048,000
36	12" Stuart Ridge Water Line	0%	46%	46%	\$2,219,960	\$4,826,000
37	Lake Ray Roberts HSPS Improvements Phase 1	0%	100%	100%	\$5,530,000	\$5,530,000
38	Lake Ray Roberts WTP 54/60" Transmission Main	0%	58%	58%	\$68,973,551	\$117,964,000
39	Lake Ray Roberts WTP 10 MGD Expansion to 60 MGD	0%	10%	10%	\$9,792,250	\$97,922,500
40	24"/48" Loop 288 Transmission Main	0%	58%	58%	\$40,406,863	\$69,107,000
41	Lake Ray Roberts HSPS Improvements Phase 2	0%	35%	35%	\$1,908,764	\$5,530,000
42	Southwest PS Improvements	0%	25%	25%	\$2,505,703	\$10,087,000
43	12" Northwest Water Line	0%	30%	30%	\$3,970,500	\$13,235,000
44	16" Milam Rd Water Line	0%	58%	58%	\$5,769,260	\$9,947,000
45	16" North Central Water Line	0%	30%	30%	\$3,656,400	\$12,188,000
46	12" N Locust Rd to E Sherman Dr Water Line	0%	30%	30%	\$1,187,700	\$3,959,000
47	12" North Cooper Creek Rd Water Line	0%	36%	36%	\$2,982,960	\$8,286,000
48	12" Swisher Rd Water Line	0%	16%	16%	\$534,560	\$3,341,000
49	20" Ponder Farms Transmission Main	0%	100%	100%	\$4,500,000	\$4,500,000
50	12" Webster Meadows Transmission Main	0%	100%	100%	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
51	Water Impact Fees	0%	100%	100%	\$100,000	\$100,000
			Prop	osed Subtotal	\$480,873,520	\$754,043,600
				Total	\$535,273,791	\$1,003,063,455







### 2.4 WATER IMPACT FEE CALCULATION

### **SERVICE UNITS**

Chapter 395 of the Local Government Code defines a service unit as follows, "Service Unit means a standardized measure of consumption attributable to an individual unit of development calculated in accordance with generally accepted engineering or planning standards and based on historical data and trends applicable to the political subdivision in which the individual unit of development is located during the previous 10 years."

### **SERVICE UNIT CALCULATION**

A single service unit for Denton's water Impact Fees is the 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch meter. A service unit is the water demand of flow associated with the 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch meter, which is typically used by a single-family residence. All meters greater than 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch have a service unit multiplier determined by the ratio of each larger meter's capacity to the capacity of the 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch meter. The current service unit multipliers (service units/meter) are shown in **Table 2.2**.

**Table 2.2 Meter Capacity Ratios** 

Meter Size	Service Units/ Meter <sup>1</sup>
5/8"x3/4"	1
1"	2.5
1-1/2"	5
2"	8
3"	22.5
4"	50
6"	100
8"	200
10"	325





Multiplying the number of existing connections for each meter size by the number of service units per meter yields the total service units for that meter size. Summing all meter sizes yields the total number of water service units connected to the City's water system as shown in **Table 2.3**. The current population in the water service area is divided by the total number of service units yielding a Persons per Service Unit number.

Table 2.3 Persons per Water Service Unit

Meter Size	Existing Connections <sup>1</sup>	Service Units/ Meter	Service Units
5/8"	40,662	1	40,662
1"	1,761	2.5	4,403
1-1/2"	922	5	4,610
2"	1,532	8	12,256
3"	99	22.5	2,228
4"	26	50	1,300
6"	11	100	1,100
8"	32	200	6,400
10"	2	325	650

Total Existing Connections: 45,047 Total Service Units: 73,609

Total Served Population: 152,350

Persons per Service Unit: 2.07

<sup>(1)</sup> Data Sources: City of Denton





In accordance with Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code, the City of Denton defines a service unit based on historical and projected water demands. The service unit is the development type that predominately uses a 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch meter. The measure of consumption per service unit is based on a 5/8-inch meter x 3/4-inch meter and the data shown in **Table 2.4**.

**Table 2.4 Water Service Unit Consumption Calculation** 

Year	Population	Service Units (2.07 person/unit)	Water Average Day Demand (MGD) <sup>1</sup>	Demand per Service Unit (GPD)
2014	123,200	59,51 <i>7</i>	17.28	290
2015	125,980	60,860	18.47	303
2016	128,160	61,913	17.50	283
2017	130,990	63,280	18.24	288
2018	134,460	64,957	19.63	302
2019	139,869	67,570	19.52	289
2020	141,882	68,542	20.40	298
2021	146,751	70,894	19.48	275
2022	149,509	72,227	23.20	321
2023	152,350	73,599	24.70	336
2024	164,737	79,583	24.88	313
2025	178,132	86,054	26.79	311
2026	192,615	93,051	28.70	308
2027	208,276	100,617	30.61	304
2028	225,210	108,797	32.52	299
2029	232,756	112,442	34.43	306
2030	240,554	116,210	35.72	307
2031	248,614	120,104	37.00	308
2032	256,944	124,128	38.29	308
2033	265,554	128,287	39.58	309
2034	270,865	130,852	40.87	312
		Average F	low per Service Unit	303

<sup>(1)</sup> Data Source: City of Denton





Based on the City's 10-year growth projections and the resulting water demand projections, water service will be required for an additional **52,774** Service Units by the year 2034 as shown in **Table 2.5**. The calculation is as follows:

• A service unit, which is a unit of development that consumes approximately **303** gallons per day (GPD), is a typical residential connection that uses a 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch meter.

Table 2.5 10-year Additional Service Unit Calculation

Year	Average Day Demand (MGD)	Service Unit Demand (GPD)	Projected Service Units	
2024	24.875	303	82,096	
2034	40.8657	303	134,870	
	10-year Additional Service Units			

The City's existing water system is divided into three service areas (Service Area 1A, 1B and 2 as indicated in the Land Use Assumptions chapter). The calculated 10-year additional services units has been distributed across the three services areas based on projected growth and its associated water demand projections as shown in **Table 2.6**.

Table 2.6 10-year Additional Service Units by Service Area

	Year	Service Area 1 A	Service Area 1B	Service Area 2	Total
2024	Service Units	32,060	48,694	1,342	82,096
2034	Service Units	33,676	<i>7</i> 8,121	23,073	134,870
10-Ye	ar Service Units	1,616	29,427	21,731	52,774

### 2.5 PLAN FOR AWARDING IMPACT FEE CREDIT

Impact fee law allows for a credit calculation to credit back the utility revenues or ad valorem taxes that are allocated for paying a portion of future capital improvements. The intent of this credit is to prevent the City from double charging development for future capital improvements via Impact Fees and utility rates. If the City chooses not to do a financial analysis to determine the credit value, they are required by law to reduce the recoverable cost by fifty (50) percent. The City chose to perform a financial analysis.

### MAXIMUM ASSESSABLE IMPACT FEE DETERMINATION

The impact fee determination method employed by NewGen Strategies and Solutions, LLC is developed through a financial based model that recognizes the requirements of Chapter 395, including the recognition of cash and/or debt financing, interest earnings, fund balances, and applicable credits associated with the use of utility revenues. In developing the components of the financial model, assumptions must be made that include the following:





### Financing

- Method of financing (i.e. cash or debt financing)
- O The level of financing (e.g. 100% debt)
- Cost of financing
- Debt repayment structure
- Timing and Level of Expenditures and Revenues
- Interest Earnings
- Annual Service Unit Growth
- Portion of Utility Revenue Used to Fund Impact Fee Water Improvements

While the assumptions employed in determining the maximum assessable impact fee are a reasonable basis for forecasting, these assumptions may not reflect actual future conditions. To address this, Chapter 395 requires the monitoring of Impact Fees through the Capital Improvement Advisory Committee (CIAC) who can then update or revise Impact Fees to reflect the actual implementation of the impact fee program.

### **FINANCING**

Once the cost of capacity added that is attributable to growth is determined, a City must decide how the cost will be financed: cash and/or debt. Actual costs of capital for any previously funded projects, whether partially or fully funded, are also included.

Based on discussions with City staff, it is assumed that the City will debt finance the future project costs. For debt financing, the cost of financing is based on the City's Financial Advisor's estimates of future debt costs for bonds issued with 30-year terms as shown in the Water Financial Analysis Appendix of this report.

Debt service payments for each future debt issue are assumed to remain constant over the issue's term.

### TIMING AND LEVEL OF EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES

The exact timing and annual level of cash capital expenditures over the forecast period is currently indeterminate, therefore it is assumed that capital expenditures will occur in amounts over the 10-year program period. It is also assumed that the City will expand debt proceeds over a 2-year timeframe for debt-financed capital projects. For the calculation of the maximum assessable impact fee, debt is assumed to be issued in equal amounts for each year. In order to recognize the full amount of debt to be issued for the cost of capacity added that is attributable to growth during the 10-year period, a portion of years eight, nine, and ten are assumed to be spend in the final three years.

### INTEREST EARNINGS

While debt is issued over 30-year terms and Impact Fees developed are to be charged over a 10-year period, a sufficient fund balance must be generated to meet the future debt service obligations. Fund balances were identified for each service area as a potential source for the current Impact Fee CIP.





Because of the generation of the fund balance, excess monies will be available for interest earnings.

Chapter 395 states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are to be held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. In order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund only impact fee eligible improvements, interest earnings are credited against the costs recoverable through Impact Fees. Chapter 395 does not require the upfront recognition of interest earnings in the impact fee determination. To acknowledge the time value of the impact fee payer's monies, interest earnings have been credited. For this analysis, interest is assumed to be earned at an annual rate of 1.89% per 10-year historical average.

### **ANNUAL SERVICE UNIT GROWTH**

The timing and annual level of service unit growth over the 10-year program period is currently indeterminate, therefore it is assumed that service unit growth will be consistent over the 10-year forecast.

### PORTION OF UTILITY REVENUE USED TO FUND IMPACT FEE WATER IMPROVEMENTS

Credit for the portion of ad valorem tax and/or utility service revenues generated by new service units during the program period are used for payment of the improvements included in the Water Impact Fee CIP. The credit is not a determination to recognize the total utility revenue generated by new service units, but is a credit for the portion of utility revenue that is used for payment of the improvements included in the Water Impact Fee CIP. Theoretically, the credit determination could be zero (\$0) if the City does not utilize any of the new service unit utility revenue to fund improvements that are included in the Water Impact Fee CIP.

To be conservative and recognize potential cash flow issues that can occur with the funding of major capital improvement projects, it is assumed that the debt-funded projects (100% of the improvement costs included in the Water Impact Fee CIP but not otherwise funded) could potentially be funded by utility revenue.

When an impact fee program is in place, payments made through utility revenue will consist of revenue generated by new service units in the defined service area and existing service units throughout the City; therefore, the portion attributable to the new service units in the defined service area must be isolated. The credit calculation illustrating how the credit is isolated is shown in the Water Financial Analysis Appendix of this report.





### 2.6 MAXIMUM ASSESSABLE IMPACT FEE

A breakdown of the 10-year recoverable costs and the associated impact fee per service unit by service area is as follows:

Table 2.7 Service Area 1A - 10-Year Recoverable Cost Breakdown

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$2,454,148
Financing Costs	\$1,106,314
Interest Earnings	(\$604,035)
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$2,956,427
Credit for Utility Revenues	(\$15,105)
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$2,941,322

(1) Per NewGen Strategies and Solutions, LLC financial analysis, See Appendix A.

Impact fee per service units =  $\frac{10\text{-year recoverable costs}}{10\text{-year additional service units}}$ 

Impact fee per service units =  $\frac{$2,941,322}{}$ 

Impact fee per service units = \$1,820

Therefore, the maximum assessable water impact fee for Service Area 1A is \$1,820.

Table 2.8 Service Area 1B - 10-Year Recoverable Cost Breakdown

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$292,309,445		
Financing Costs	\$129,102,582		
Interest Earnings	(\$81,750,244)		
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$339,661,783		
Credit for Utility Revenues	(\$23,479,052)		
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$316,182,731		

(1) Per NewGen Strategies and Solutions, LLC financial analysis, See Appendix A.

Impact fee per service units =  $\frac{10\text{-year recoverable costs}}{10\text{-year additional service units}}$ 

,

Impact fee per service units = \$\frac{\$316,182,731}{29,427}\$

Impact fee per service units = \$10,745

Therefore, the maximum assessable water impact fee for Service Area 1B is \$10,745.





Table 2.9 Service Area 2 - 10-Year Recoverable Cost Breakdown

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$240,510,198	
Financing Costs	\$106,564,481	
Interest Earnings	(\$68,197,039)	
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$278,877,640	
Credit for Utility Revenues	(\$15,078,415)	
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$263,799,224	

(1) Per Newgen Strategies and Solutions, LLC financial analysis, See Appendix A.

Impact fee per service units =  $\frac{10\text{-year recoverable costs}}{10\text{-year additional service units}}$ 

Impact fee per service units =  $\frac{$263,799,224}{21,731}$ 

Impact fee per service units = \$12,139

Therefore, the maximum assessable water impact fee for Service Area 2 is \$12,139.

For a development that requires a different size meter, a service unit equivalent is established at a multiplier based on its capacity with respect to the 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch meter. The maximum impact fee that could be assessed for other meter sizes is based on the Equivalency Table (**Table 2.10**).

Table 2.10 Maximum Assessable Water Impact Fee by Service Area and Meter Size

Meter Size	Service Unit Equivalent	Service Area 1A Maximum Assessable Water Impact Fee	Service Area 1B Maximum Assessable Water Impact Fee	Service Area 2 Maximum Assessable Water Impact Fee
5/8"x3/4"	1	\$1,820	\$10,745	\$12,139
1"	2.5	\$4,550	\$26,863	\$30,348
1-1/2"	5	\$9,100	\$53,725	\$60,695
2"	8	\$1 <i>4</i> ,560	\$85,960	\$97,112
3"	22.5	\$40,950	\$241 <i>,</i> 763	\$273,128
4"	50	\$91,000	\$537,250	\$606,950
6"	100	\$182,000	\$1,074,500	\$1,213,900
8"	200	\$364,000	\$2,149,000	\$2,427,800
10"	325	\$591,500	\$3,492,125	\$3,945,1 <i>7</i> 5

# CHAPTER

WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE STUDY





#### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

The City of Denton retained the services of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., for the purpose of updating the Impact Fees for wastewater system improvements required to serve new development.

The purpose of this report is to satisfy the requirements of the law and provide the City with an impact fee capital improvements plan and associated Impact Fees.

For convenience and reference, the following is excerpted from Chapter 395 of the code:

- (b) The political subdivision shall use qualified professionals to prepare the capital improvements plan and to calculate the impact fee. The capital improvements plan must contain specific enumeration of the following items:
  - (1) a description of the existing capital improvements within the service area and the costs to upgrade, update, improve, expand, or replace the improvements to meet existing needs and usage and stricter safety, efficiency, environmental, or regulatory standards, which shall be prepared by a qualified professional engineer licensed to perform such professional engineering services in this state;
  - (2) an analysis of the total capacity, the level of current usage, and commitments for usage of capacity of the existing capital improvements, which shall be prepared by a qualified professional engineer licensed to perform such professional engineering services in this state;
  - (3) a description of all or the parts of the capital improvements or facility expansions and their costs necessitated by and attributable to new development in the service area based on the approved land use assumptions, which shall be prepared by a qualified professional engineer licensed to perform such professional engineering services in this state;
  - (4) a definitive table establishing the specific level or quantity of use, consumption, generation, or discharge of a service unit for each category of capital improvements or facility expansions and an equivalency or conversion table establishing the ratio of a service unit to various types of land uses, including but not limited to residential, commercial, and industrial;
  - (5) the total number of projected service units necessitated by and attributable to new development within the service area based on the approved land use assumptions and calculated in accordance with generally accepted engineering or planning criteria;
  - (6) the projected demand for capital improvements or facility expansions required by new service units projected over a reasonable period of time, not to exceed 10 years; and
  - (7) plan for awarding:





- (A) a credit for the portion of ad valorem tax and utility service revenues generated by new service units during the program period that is used for the payment of improvements, including the payment of debt, that are included in the capital improvements plan; or
- (B) in the alternative, a credit equal to 50 percent of the total project cost of implementing the capital improvements plan.

The impact fee study includes information from the 2023 Wastewater System Master Plan being completed at this time. The Impact Fees are based on recommended capital improvements outlined in the Wastewater Master Plan, input from City Staff, and the growth projections shown in the Land Use Assumptions Chapter of this report.

The study process was comprised of four tasks:

#### LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS

The land use assumptions used for this report were created by Kimley-Horn with input provided by the City of Denton and the Denton 2040 Comprehensive Plan. The development of land use assumptions included the following:

- Establishing impact fee service areas.
- Collection/determination of service units service area; and
- Projection of the ten-year service units by service area.

A detailed discussion is outlined in the Land Use Assumptions Chapter of this report.

#### **EVALUATION OF WASTEWATER SYSTEM MASTER PLAN**

This task involved reviewing the 2023 Wastewater Master Plan and its growth projection compatibility with the Land Use Assumptions. The wastewater flow projections were then used to determine the additional service units.

#### IMPACT FEE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN

This task involved reviewing the impact fee water capital improvements plan outlined in 2019 Impact Fee Study and the 2023 Wastewater Master Plan. Discussions were also held with City Utility staff to identify projects that will be constructed in the 10-year planning window and meet the design criteria.

#### **IMPACT FEE ANALYSIS AND REPORT**

This task included calculating the additional service units, and credit reduction. These values were then used to determine the impact fee per service unit and the maximum assessable water impact fee by meter size.





#### 3.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

In accordance with the Chapter 217 of the Texas Administrative Code (Design Criteria for Domestic Wastewater Systems) and the design criteria in the 2023 Wastewater Master Plan the following design criteria is followed when planning for future wastewater infrastructure.

#### **COLLECTION LINES (INTERCEPTORS)**

The design criteria for collection lines or interceptors is based on the TCEQ requirements that meet peak wet weather design flows and not exceed 80% of the pipe capacity.

#### LIFT STATIONS PUMPING CAPACITY

The design criteria for lift station pumping shall be to provide firm pumping capacity to meet 125% of the peak wet weather design flows. The firm pumping capacity is defined as the available total pumping capacity with the largest pump out of service.

#### LIFT STATION WET WELL CAPACITY

The design criteria for lift station wet wells are to provide adequate volumes to limit pump cycling to once every 10 minutes. Based on this criterion, the required operating volume for each pump can be calculated as

V = tQ/4 where,

t = Maximum pump cycling time = 10 minutes

Q = Lead pump discharge rate in gallons per minute (gpm)

V = Required wet well volume between pump start and stop elevation

#### **FORCE MAINS**

The design criteria recommended for force mains is to meet the required pumping capacity of the lift station at a velocity less than 7 feet per second and a maximum discharge pressure of 100 psi and to allow a minimum of 3 feet per second scouring velocity during a single pump operation.





#### 3.3 IMPACT FEE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN

The purpose of the wastewater master plan is to provide the City with a logical strategy for upgrading and expanding its wastewater collection system to accommodate future growth and for addressing existing system deficiencies. The Wastewater Master Plan and the recommended system improvements are developed to accommodate growth through the 25-year planning period. The impact fee capital improvements plan is developed using projects identified during the master planning process and through discussions with utility staff. State law only allows cost recovery associated with eligible projects in a ten (10) year planning window from the time of the impact fee study. The following details the projects and the eligible recoverable cost.

Seventeen (17) existing projects, forty-seven (47) proposed projects, and the Wastewater Impact Fee Study are determined eligible for recoverable cost through Impact Fees over the next 10 years. The total cost of these projects is \$1,222,435,449. The projected total recoverable through Impact Fees is \$686,981,671. After debt service costs are added and the credit reduction calculation is complete, \$760,659,142 is recoverable through Impact Fees serving the 10-year system needs. These impact fee capital improvements are shown in **Table 3.1** and illustrated in **Exhibit 3.1**.





Table 3.1 Wastewater Impact Fee Capital Improvements
Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost


Project #	Description	2023 Required Capacity (Percent Utilization)	2033 Required Capacity (Percent Utilization)	2023-2033 Required Capacity (Percent Utilization)	2033 Projected Recoverable Cost	Total Project Cost
		EXISTIN	iG	•	•	
1	Cooper Creek Interceptor III	52%	54%	2%	\$26,887	\$1,277,970
2	Cooper Creek Outfall (Loop 288)	52%	62%	11%	\$411,553	\$3,898,807
3	Krum Sewer Line	20%	42%	22%	\$87,775	\$398,450
4	Graveyard Branch Interceptor	8%	18%	10%	\$484,130	\$5,004,952
5	Leatherwood Interceptor	22%	25%	3%	\$4,616	\$156,592
6	Pecan Creek Interceptor (Ph 1 & 2)	57%	65%	8%	\$257,047	\$3,363,189
7	Pecan Creek Interceptor I	78%	84%	6%	\$123,815	\$1,975,672
8	Pecan Creek Interceptor II	37%	43%	6%	\$103,913	\$1,862,175
9	Pecan Creek Interceptor III	32%	37%	6%	\$172,497	\$3,079,149
10	Pecan Creek Interceptor IV	23%	31%	8%	\$77,121	\$978,285
11	Pecan WRP Expansion (6 MGD)	17%	100%	83%	\$25,004,271	\$30,005,125
12	Cooper Creek Interceptor I	48%	60%	12%	\$309,649	\$2,632,000
13	Cooper Creek Interceptor II	44%	54%	10%	\$113,537	\$1,128,000
14	Hickory Creek Interceptor I	27%	100%	73%	\$1,058,753	\$1,447,500
15	Hickory Creek Interceptor II	52%	90%	39%	\$3,120,135	\$8,102,500
16	Hickory Creek Interceptor III	24%	49%	25%	\$721,323	\$2,875,433
17	Hickory Creek Lift Station Detention Facility	35%	100%	65%	\$6,435,000	\$9,900,000
			Ex	isting Subtotal	\$38,512,020	\$78,085,799
		PROPOS	ED			
18	PCWRP Headworks Facility	62%	83%	22%	\$12,333,923	\$56,260,000
19	PCWRP 5.0 MGD Expansion to 26 MGD	0%	14%	14%	\$16,800,000	\$120,000,000
20	CCWRP 2.5 MGD MBR Plant	4%	73%	69%	\$82,034,726	\$118,800,000
21	Clear Creek Interceptor (27"- 42")	0%	49%	49%	\$44,055,019	\$89,440,000
22	Roark Branch Interceptor Oversize (27" - 42")	5%	35%	30%	\$829,989	\$2,810,200
23	Cole Ranch Interceptor Oversize (36"- 42")	0%	100%	100%	\$4,809,000	\$4,809,000
24	North Cole Ranch Sewer Line (36")	0%	60%	60%	\$4,377,794	\$7,310,000
25	Legends Sewer Line (12"- 15")	0%	100%	100%	\$32,080,000	\$32,080,000
26	Robson Ranch Sewer Line (12")	27%	30%	4%	\$112,722	\$3,086,000
27	Robson West Lift Station, Force Main, and Sewer Line Oversize	15%	26%	11%	\$1,438,690	\$13,079,000
28	Milam Creek Sewer Line (18"- 24")	19%	46%	26%	\$3,630,769	\$13,750,000
29	Denton ICC Sewer Line Oversize (18")	0%	10%	10%	\$33,899	\$324,720
30	Ganzer Rd Sewer Line (12")	49%	95%	46%	\$1,167,692	\$2,530,000
31	North Masch Sewer Line (12"- 18")	11%	45%	34%	\$2,965,667	\$8,680,000
32	Masch Sewer Line Replacement (15"- 18")	32%	86%	54%	\$3,003,678	\$5,560,000
33	North Loop 288 Sewer Line (12"- 15")	0%	22%	22%	\$1,750,452	\$7,980,000
34	Mingo Road Sewer Line (12")	0%	59%	59%	\$2,580,649	\$4,380,000
35	Lakeview Interceptor Replacement (24" - 30")	7%	24%	17%	\$399,875	\$2,367,000





Project #	Description	2023 Required Capacity (Percent Utilization)	2033 Required Capacity (Percent Utilization)	2023-2033 Required Capacity (Percent Utilization)	2033 Projected Recoverable Cost	Total Project Cost
37	Grissom Sewer Line (15")	5%	36%	31%	\$927,382	\$2,960,000
38	Lakeview Ranch Sewer Line (24")	6%	23%	17%	\$1,009,502	\$5,820,000
39	United Copper Sewer Line (12"-24")	6%	18%	13%	\$1,193,632	\$9,500,000
40	Dry Fork Sewer Line (18")	0%	100%	100%	\$3,620,000	\$3,620,000
41	Hickory Creek Peak Flow Basin Improvements	73%	90%	17%	\$4,056,000	\$23,400,000
42	Cooper Creek Sewer Line Replacement (18"- 24")	60%	64%	4%	\$147,710	\$3,590,000
43	Pecan Creek Interceptor Replacement (36")	42%	77%	35%	\$1,349,783	\$3,890,000
44	Beaver Creek Sewer Line (12")	35%	72%	37%	\$1,062,150	\$2,910,000
45	North Milam Sewer Line (12"- 18")	0%	53%	53%	\$3,667,912	\$6,890,000
46	HCWRP 10.0 MGD MBR Plant	7%	80%	73%	\$327,673,043	\$448,600,000
47	Stark Farms Sewer Line (8"- 12")	0%	57%	57%	\$760,372	\$1,340,000
48	C Wolfe Road Interceptor (36")	0%	61%	61%	\$11,127,241	\$18,120,000
49	TN Skiles Road Interceptor (36")	0%	45%	45%	\$6,428,193	\$14,420,000
50	Ponder Sewer Line (12"- 15")	0%	86%	86%	\$4,480,738	\$5,230,000
51	South Hickory Creek Sewer Line (24")	0%	52%	52%	\$11,848,576	\$22,580,000
52	Wolf Branch Sewer Line (12"- 21")	0%	99%	99%	\$17,774,706	\$18,040,000
53	Sanctuary Sewer Line (12"- 18")	0%	100%	100%	\$4,280,000	\$4,280,000
54	Meadows Sewer Line (12")	0%	40%	40%	\$850,617	\$2,130,000
55	Cole Ranch West Sewer Line Oversize (15"- 18")	0%	40%	40%	\$116,714	\$294,000
56	East Clear Creek Sewer Line (8"- 15")	0%	47%	47%	\$1,475,346	\$3,170,000
57	East Milam Sewer Line (12"- 15")	0%	47%	47%	\$1,358,994	\$2,920,000
58	Stella Hills Lift Station, Force Main, and Onsite Sewer Line Oversize	11%	24%	13%	\$639,957	\$4,934,730
59	East McKinney Street Sewer Line (15" - 21")	0%	15%	15%	\$473,921	\$3,180,000
60	North Trinity Lift Station and Force Main Oversize	0%	15%	15%	\$873,029	\$5,858,000
61	North Trinity Road Sewer Line Oversize (15")	0%	15%	15%	\$78,540	\$527,000
62	Ponder Farms/Webster Meadows Lift Station and Force Main	0%	100%	100%	\$11,500,000	\$11,500,000
63	Ponder-Farms/Webster-Meadows Interceptor (15"-21")	0%	100%	100%	\$13,000,000	\$13,000,000
63	Wastewater Impact Fees	0%	100%	100%	\$100,000	\$100,000
			Proj	posed Subtotal	\$648,469,651	\$1,144,349,650
				Total	\$686,981,671	\$1,222,435,449

34







## 3.4 WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE CALCULATION

#### **SERVICE UNITS**

Chapter 395 of the Local Government Code defines a service unit as follows, "Service Unit means a standardized measure of consumption attributable to an individual unit of development calculated in accordance with generally accepted engineering or planning standards and based on historical data and trends applicable to the political subdivision in which the individual unit of development is located during the previous 10 years."

#### **SERVICE UNIT CALCULATION**

A single service unit for Denton's water Impact Fees is the 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch meter. A service unit is the wastewater flow associated with water demand of accommodated by a 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch meter, which is typically used by a single-family residence. All meters greater than 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch have a service unit multiplier determined by the ratio of each larger meter's capacity to the capacity of the 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch meter. The current service unit multipliers (service units/meter) are shown in **Table 3.2**.

**Table 3.2 Meter Capacity Ratios** 

Meter Size	Service Units/ Meter <sup>1</sup>
5/8"x3/4"	1
1"	2.5
1-1/2"	5
2"	8
3"	22.5
4"	50
6"	100
8"	200
10"	325





Based on the City's 10-year growth projections and the resulting wastewater flow in relation to water demand projections, wastewater service will be required for an additional **52,276** Service Units by the year 2034 as shown in **Table 3.3**.

The City's existing wastewater system is a single service area because it treats wastewater at a single treatment facility. Over the next 10-years the City will construct two additional treatment facilities to accommodate growth and will therefore divide into three service areas (Pecan Creek, Hickory Creek, Clear Creek as indicated in the Land Use Assumptions chapter). The calculated 10-year additional services units has been distributed across the three services areas based on projected growth and its associated wastewater flow projections as shown in **Table 3.3**.

Table 3.3 10-year Additional Service Units by Service Area

	Year	Pecan Creek	Hickory Creek	Clear Creek	Total
2024	Service Units	59,597	21,283	1,216	82,096
2034	Service Units	67,213	56,695	10,464	134,372
10-Ye	ar Service Units	7,616	35,412	9,248	52,276

#### 3.5 PLAN FOR AWARDING IMPACT FEE CREDIT

Impact fee law allows for a credit calculation to credit back the utility revenues or ad valorem taxes that are allocated for paying a portion of future capital improvements. The intent of this credit is to prevent the City from double charging development for future capital improvements via Impact Fees and utility rates. If the City chooses not to do a financial analysis to determine the credit value, they are required by law to reduce the recoverable cost by 50 percent. The City chose to perform a financial analysis.

#### MAXIMUM ASSESSABLE IMPACT FEE DETERMINATION

The impact fee determination method employed by NewGen Strategies and Solutions, LLC is developed through a financial based model that recognizes the requirements of Chapter 395, including the recognition of cash and/or debt financing, interest earnings, fund balances, and applicable credits associated with the use of utility revenues. In developing the components of the financial model, assumptions must be made that include the following:

#### Financing

- Method of financing (i.e. cash or debt financing)
- The level of financing (e.g. 100% debt)
- Cost of financing
- Debt repayment structure





- Timing and Level of Expenditures and Revenues
- Interest Earnings
- Annual Service Unit Growth
- Portion of Utility Revenue Used to Fund Impact Fee Water Improvements

While the assumptions employed in determining the maximum assessable impact fee are a reasonable basis for forecasting, these assumptions may not reflect actual future conditions. To address this, Chapter 395 requires the monitoring of Impact Fees through the Capital Improvement Advisory Committee (CIAC) who can then update or revise Impact Fees to reflect the actual implementation of the impact fee program.

#### **FINANCING**

Once the cost of capacity added that is attributable to growth is determined, a City must decide how the cost will be financed: cash and/or debt. Actual costs of capital for any previously funded projects, whether partially or fully funded, are also included.

Based on discussions with City staff, it is assumed that the City will debt finance the future project costs. For debt financing, the cost of financing is based on the City's Financial Advisor's estimates of future debt costs for bonds issued with 30-year terms as shown in the Water Financial Analysis Appendix of this report.

Debt service payments for each future debt issue are assumed to remain constant over the issue's term.

#### TIMING AND LEVEL OF EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES

The exact timing and annual level of cash capital expenditures over the forecast period is currently indeterminate, therefore it is assumed that capital expenditures will occur in amounts over the 10-year program period. It is also assumed that the City will expand debt proceeds over a 2-year timeframe for debt-financed capital projects. For the calculation of the maximum assessable impact fee, debt is assumed to be issued in equal amounts for each year. In order to recognize the full amount of debt to be issued for the cost of capacity added that is attributable to growth during the 10-year period, a portion of years eight, nine, and ten are assumed to be spend in the final three years.

#### **INTEREST EARNINGS**

While debt is issued over 30-year terms and Impact Fees developed are to be charged over a 10-year period, a sufficient fund balance must be generated to meet the future debt service obligations. Fund balances were identified for each service area as a potential source for the current Impact Fee CIP. Because of the generation of the fund balance, excess monies will be available for interest earnings.

Chapter 395 states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are to be held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. In order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund only impact fee eligible improvements, interest earnings are credited against the costs recoverable through Impact Fees. Chapter 395 does not require the upfront recognition of interest earnings in the impact fee





determination. To acknowledge the time value of the impact fee payer's monies, interest earnings have been credited. For this analysis, interest is assumed to be earned at an annual rate of 1.89% per 10-year historical average.

#### **ANNUAL SERVICE UNIT GROWTH**

The timing and annual level of service unit growth over the 10-year program period is currently indeterminate, therefore it is assumed that service unit growth will be consistent over the 10-year forecast.

#### PORTION OF UTILITY REVENUE USED TO FUND IMPACT FEE WATER IMPROVEMENTS

Credit for the portion of ad valorem tax and/or utility service revenues generated by new service units during the program period are used for payment of the improvements included in the Wastewater Impact Fee CIP. The credit is not a determination to recognize the total utility revenue generated by new service units but is a credit for the portion of utility revenue that is used for payment of the improvements included in the Water Impact Fee CIP. Theoretically, the credit determination could be zero (\$0) if the City does not utilize any of the new service unit utility revenue to fund improvements that are included in the Wastewater Impact Fee CIP.

To be conservative and recognize potential cash flow issues that can occur with the funding of major capital improvement projects, it is assumed that the debt-funded projects (100% of the improvement costs included in the Water Impact Fee CIP but not otherwise funded) could potentially be funded by utility revenue.

When an impact fee program is in place, payments made through utility revenue will consist of revenue generated by new service units in the defined service area and existing service units throughout the City; therefore, the portion attributable to the new service units in the defined service area must be isolated. The credit calculation illustrating how the credit is isolated is shown in the Wastewater Financial Analysis Appendix of this report.





#### 3.6 MAXIMUM ASSESSABLE IMPACT FEE

A breakdown of the 10-year recoverable costs and the associated impact fee per service unit by service area is as follows:

Table 3.4 Pecan Creek Service - 10-Year Recoverable Cost Breakdown

Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$88,462,214
Credit for Utility Revenues	(\$2,347,057)
<b>Pre Credit</b> Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$90,809,271
Interest Earnings	(\$13,234,573)
Financing Costs	\$34,160,000
Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$69,883,844

(1) Per NewGen Strategies and Solutions, LLC financial analysis, See Appendix A.

Impact fee per service units =  $\frac{10\text{-year recoverable costs}}{10\text{-year additional service units}}$ 

Impact fee per service units =  $\frac{$88,462,214}{7,616}$ 

Impact fee per service units = \$11,615

Therefore, the maximum assessable water impact fee for Pecan Creek Service Area is \$11,615.

Table 3.5 Hickory Creek Service Area - 10-Year Recoverable Cost Breakdown

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$477,843,160
Financing Costs	\$212,931,397
Interest Earnings	(\$140,094,955)
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$550,679,602
Credit for Utility Revenues	(\$43,436,450)
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$507,243,152

(1) Per NewGen Strategies and Solutions, LLC financial analysis, See Appendix A.

Impact fee per service units = 10-year recoverable costs

10-year additional service units

Impact fee per service units =  $\frac{$507,243,152}{35,412}$ 

Impact fee per service units = \$14,324

Therefore, the maximum assessable water impact fee for Hickory Creek Service Area is \$14,324.





Table 3.6 Clear Creek Service Area - 10-Year Recoverable Cost Breakdown

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$139,254,667
Financing Costs	\$46,901,957
Interest Earnings	(\$17,287,316)
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$168,869,308
Credit for Utility Revenues	(\$3,915,532)
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$164,953,776

(1) Per NewGen Strategies and Solutions, LLC financial analysis, See Appendix A.

Impact fee per service units = 10-year recoverable costs 10-year additional service units

Impact fee per service units =  $\frac{$164,953,776}{9,248}$ 

Impact fee per service units = \$17,837

Therefore, the maximum assessable water impact fee for Clear Creek is \$17,837.

For a development that requires a different size meter, a service unit equivalent is established at a multiplier based on its capacity with respect to the 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch meter. The maximum impact fee that could be assessed for other meter sizes is based on the Equivalency Table (**Table 2.10**).

Table 3.7 Maximum Assessable Wastewater Impact Fee by Service Area and Meter Size

Meter Size	Service Unit Equivalent	Pecan Creek Maximum Assessable Water Impact Fee	Hickory Creek Maximum Assessable Water Impact Fee	Clear Creek Maximum Assessable Water Impact Fee
5/8"x3/4"	1	\$11,615	\$13,935	\$1 <i>7,</i> 83 <i>7</i>
1"	2.5	\$29,038	\$34,838	\$44,593
1-1/2"	5	\$58,075	\$69,675	\$89,185
2"	8	\$92,920	\$111,480	\$142,696
3"	22.5	\$261,338	\$313,538	\$401,333
4"	50	\$580,750	\$696,750	\$891,850
6"	100	\$1,161,500	\$1,393,500	\$1,783,700
8"	200	\$2,323,000	\$2,787,000	\$3,567,400
10"	325	\$3,774,875	\$4,528,875	\$5,797,025

# APPENDIX

WATER FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

City of Denton - 2024 Water Impact Fee Update Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Water Service Area Zone 1A

0	Existing Fund Balance	\$ -
1	Existing Number of Service Units Over Entire City	82,096
2	Additional Service Units Added During Planning Period In the Service Area	1,616
3	Total Cost of the Water Impact Fee CIP	\$ 10,654,473
4	Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee Planning Period	\$ 2,454,148
5	Percent Recoverable for Water Impact Fee Planning Period (Line 4 / Line 3)	23.03%
6	Financing Costs (From Financial Analysis)	\$ 1,106,314
7	Interest Earnings (From Financial Analysis)	\$ (604,035)
8	Recoverable Cost of Water Impact Fee and Financing Costs Less Balance (Line 5 + Line 7 + Line 8 - Line 0)	\$ 2,956,427
9	Pre-Credit Maximum Fee (Line 8 / Line 2)	\$ 1,830
10	Credit for Utility Revenues (From Financial Analysis)	\$ (15,105)
11	Recoverable Cost of Water Impact Fee and Financing (Line 8 + Line 10)	\$ 2,941,322
12	Maximum Assessable Fee (Line 11 / Line 2)	\$ 1,820

#### SUMMARY OF WATER IMPACT FEE DETERMINATION

Water Service Area Zone 1A

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 2,454,148	Table 2.1
Financing Cost	1,106,314	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	-	Water Appendices - page 1
Interest Earnings	(604,035)	Water Appendices - page 3
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 2,956,427	Sum of Above
Credit for Utility Revenues	(15,105)	Water Appendices - page 6
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 2,941,322	

#### Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees.

Reference is Table 2.1 Water Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost

#### Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interest costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 2,231,971 Water Appendices - page 2
Existing Annual Debt Service	1,269,285 Water Appendices - page 2
Principal Component (New and Existing Debt)	 (2,394,943) Water Appendices - page 1
Financing Costs	\$ 1,106,314

#### **Existing Fund Balance:**

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2018 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates.

To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Water Appendices.

#### Interest Earnings

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 1.89% annual interest rate.

The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of Accumulated Interest on page 3 of Water Appendices.

#### Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Existing Fund Balance and Interest Earnings.

#### Credit for Utility Revenues

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes utility revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects. Reference is page 6 of Water Appendices.

#### Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Utility Revenues.

This is the maximum cost that can be recovered through impact fees.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Water Service Area Zone 1A

#### I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits<sup>(1)</sup>
Annual Service Unit Growth<sup>(2)</sup>
Existing Fund Balance<sup>(3)</sup>

2.50%
162
\$ -

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt<sup>(4)</sup>
Non-debt Funded Project Cost<sup>(5)</sup>
New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt<sup>(6)</sup>
Total Recoverable Project Cost<sup>(7)</sup>

\$ 850,650
59,206
1,544,292
\$ 2,454,148

#### II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal <sup>(8)</sup>	Interest <sup>(9)</sup>	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 154,429	3.80%	20
2	154,429	3.80%	20
3	154,429	3.80%	20
4	154,429	3.80%	20
5	154,429	3.80%	20
6	154,429	3.80%	20
7	154,429	3.80%	20
8	154,429	3.80%	20
9	154,429	3.80%	20
10	154,429	3.80%	20
Total	\$ 1,544,292		

#### III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

	Annual Capital
<u>Year</u>	Expenditures <sup>(10)</sup>
1	\$ 83,135
2	160,350
3	160,350
4	160,350
5	160,350
6	160,350
7	160,350
8	160,350
9	160,350
10	237,564
Total	\$ 1,603,498

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff
- (2) Derived from Table 2.6 10-year Additional SFE Water Zone Distribution
- (3) Balance from 03/30/2024 provided by City Staff
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (5) From allocation per City Staff; assumes 0% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt
- (6) This assumes 100% of new project costs funded through new debt issues
- (7) Table 2.1 Water Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Estimated interest on future debt per discussions with City Staff
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 2-year time frame. \end{tabular}$

Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated in equal annual amounts

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Debt Service and Expense Summary Water Service Area Zone 1A

#### I. New Debt Service Detail

<u>Year</u>	Series <u>1</u>	Series	Series	Series	Series <u>5</u>	Series	Series <u>7</u>	Series	Series <u>9</u>	Series 10	Annual New Debt <u>Service</u>
1	\$ 11,160	•	\$ - \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-	\$ 11,160
2	11,160	11,160	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22,320
3	11,160	11,160	11,160	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33,480
4	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	-	-	-	-	-	-	44,639
5	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	-	-	-	-	-	55,799
6	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	-	-	-	-	66,959
7	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	-	-	-	78,119
8	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	-	-	89,279
9	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	-	100,439
10	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	111,599
11	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	111,599
12	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	111,599
13	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	111,599
14	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	111,599
15	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	111,599
16	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	111,599
17	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	111,599
18	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	111,599
19	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	111,599
20	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	111,599
21	-	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	100,439
22	-	-	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	89,279
23	-	-	-	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	78,119
24	-	-	-	-	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	66,959
25	-	-	-	-	-	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	55,799
26	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,160	11,160	11,160	11,160	44,639
27	-	-	-	-		-	-	11,160	11,160	11,160	33,480
28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,160	11,160	22,320
29	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	11,160	11,160
:	\$ 223,197	\$ 223,197	\$ 223,197 \$	223,197 \$	223,197 \$	223,197 \$	223,197 \$	223,197 \$	223,197 \$	223,197	\$ 2,231,971

#### II. Summary of Annual Expenses

	New						Existing		
	Annual		Annual		Annual		Annual		
	Debt		Capital		Bond		Debt	Annual	Total
Year	 Service <sup>(1)</sup>	Exp	enditures <sup>(2)</sup>	F	roceeds <sup>(2)</sup>	5	Service <sup>(3)</sup>	Credit <sup>(4)</sup>	Expense Page 1
1	\$ 11,160	\$	83,135	\$	(154,429)	\$	63,464	\$ (147) \$	3,183
2	22,320		160,350		(154,429)		63,464	(336)	91,368
3	33,480		160,350		(154,429)		63,464	(569)	102,295
4	44,639		160,350		(154,429)		63,464	(844)	113,180
5	55,799		160,350		(154,429)		63,464	(1,162)	124,022
6	66,959		160,350		(154,429)		63,464	(1,522)	134,822
7	78,119		160,350		(154,429)		63,464	(1,924)	145,580
8	89,279		160,350		(154,429)		63,464	(2,368)	156,296
9	100,439		160,350		(154,429)		63,464	(2,853)	166,971
10	111,599		237,564		(154,429)		63,464	(3,379)	254,819
11	111,599		-		-		63,464	-	175,063
12	111,599		-		-		63,464	-	175,063
13	111,599		-		-		63,464	-	175,063
14	111,599		-		-		63,464	-	175,063
15	111,599		-		-		63,464	-	175,063
16	111,599		-		-		63,464	-	175,063
17	111,599		-		-		63,464	-	175,063
18	111,599		-		-		63,464	-	175,063
19	111,599		-		-		63,464	-	175,063
20	111,599		-		-		63,464	-	175,063
21	100,439		-		-		-	-	100,439
22	89,279		-		-		-	-	89,279
23	78,119		-		-		-	-	78,119
24	66,959		-		-		-	-	66,959
25	55,799		-		-		-	-	55,799
26	44,639		-		-		-	-	44,639
27	33,480		-		-		-	-	33,480
28	22,320		-		-		-	-	22,320
29	11,160		-		-		-	-	11,160
	\$ 2,231,971	\$	1,603,498	\$	(1,544,292)	\$	1,269,285	\$ (15,105) \$	3,545,357

Total

<sup>(1)</sup> Water Appendices - page 2 Section I
(2) Water Appendices - page 1
(3) Actual timing of payment varies. Calculated P&I above assumes City's estimated average cost of outstanding system debt and constant annual debt service payments.
(4) Water Appendices - page 6

## Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Revenue Test Water Service Area Zone 1A

<u>Year</u>	Impact <u>Fee</u>	Service <u>Units</u>		Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>		Annual xpenses	<u>Sub-Total</u>		umulated <u>nterest</u>	E	Stimated Fund Balance
Initial										\$	-
1	\$ 1,820	162	\$	294,132	\$	3,183	\$	290,949	\$ 3,637		294,586
2	1,820	162		294,132		91,368		202,764	9,899		507,249
3	1,820	162		294,132		102,295		191,837	15,079		714,165
4	1,820	162		294,132		113,180		180,952	20,116		915,234
5	1,820	162		294,132		124,022		170,110	25,007		1,110,351
6	1,820	162		294,132		134,822		159,311	29,750		1,299,412
7	1,820	162		294,132		145,580		148,553	34,342		1,482,307
8	1,820	162		294,132		156,296		137,836	38,781		1,658,924
9	1,820	162		294,132		166,971		127,162	43,063		1,829,148
10	1,820	162		294,132		254,819		39,313	46,220		1,914,681
11	-	-		-		175,063		(175,063)	45,679		1,785,297
12	-	-		-		175,063		(175,063)	42,444		1,652,679
13	-	-		-		175,063		(175,063)	39,129		1,516,744
14	-	-				175,063		(175,063)	35,730		1,377,412
15	-	-		-		175,063		(175,063)	32,247		1,234,596
16	-	-		-		175,063		(175,063)	28,677		1,088,210
17	-	-		-		175,063		(175,063)	25,017		938,164
18	-	-		-		175,063		(175,063)	21,266		784,367
19	-	-		-		175,063		(175,063)	17,421		626,725
20	-	-		-		175,063		(175,063)	13,480		465,142
21	-	-		-		100,439		(100,439)	10,373		375,077
22	-	-		-		89,279		(89,279)	8,261		294,059
23	-	-		-		78,119		(78,119)	6,375		222,315
24	-	-		-		66,959		(66,959)	4,721		160,076
25	-	-		-		55,799		(55,799)	3,304		107,582
26	-	-		-		44,639		(44,639)	2,132		65,074
27	<del>-</del>	-		-		33,480		(33,480)	1,208		32,802
28	-	-		-		22,320		(22,320)	541		11,024
29	-	-		<u> </u>		11,160	i	(11,160)	136		-
			2	2,941,322		3,545,357			 604,035		

# Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Water Service Area Zone 1A

		Future Value	Escalation				
	Number of	Interest	Recovery				
	Years to	Rate	Fee	Annual Se	rvice Units	Annual	Expense
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<b>Escalated</b>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Escalated</u>
1	29	2.0215	1.0000	162	327 \$	3,183	\$ 6,435
2	28	1.9721	1.0000	162	319	91,368	180,192
3	27	1.9240	1.0000	162	311	102,295	196,821
4	26	1.8771	1.0000	162	303	113,180	212,452
5	25	1.8313	1.0000	162	296	124,022	227,126
6	24	1.7867	1.0000	162	289	134,822	240,882
7	23	1.7431	1.0000	162	282	145,580	253,759
8	22	1.7006	1.0000	162	275	156,296	265,793
9	21	1.6591	1.0000	162	268	166,971	277,021
10	20	1.6186	1.0000	162	262	254,819	412,458
11	19	1.5792	1.0000		-	175,063	276,451
12	18	1.5406	1.0000	-	_	175,063	269,709
13	17	1.5031	1.0000	-	_	175,063	263,130
14	16	1.4664	1.0000	_	_	175,063	256,712
15	15	1.4306	1.0000	_	_	175,063	250,451
16	14	1.3957	1.0000	_	_	175,063	244,343
17	13	1.3617	1.0000		-	175,063	238,383
18	12	1.3285	1.0000		_	175,063	232,569
19	11	1.2961	1.0000		-	175,063	226,896
20	10	1.2645	1.0000	-	-	175,063	221,362
21	9	1.2336	1.0000	-	-	100,439	123,904
22	8	1.2035	1.0000	-	-	89,279	107,451
23	7	1.1742	1.0000	-	-	78,119	91,727
24	6	1.1456	1.0000	-	-	66,959	76,705
25	5	1.1176	1.0000	-	-	55,799	62,362
26	4	1.0904	1.0000	-	-	44,639	48,673
27	3	1.0638	1.0000	-	-	33,480	35,614
28	2	1.0378	1.0000	-	-	22,320	23,164
29	1	1.0125	1.0000	-	-	11,160	11,299
				_	2,930		\$ 5,333,843
		Annual Interest Ra	te:			2.50%	
		Present Value of Ir	nitial Impact Fee F	und Balance	\$	-	
		Total Escalated Ex	nance for Entire F	Period	\$	5,333,843	
		Less Future Value				-	i
		Sub-Total			\$	5,333,843	
		Total Escalated Se	ervice Units		_	2,930	
		Impact Fee for Wa	ater Service Area	ı	\$	1,820	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Project Funding Water Service Area Zone 1A

Impact Fee Project Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Sei	Cost In rvice Area (1)	Percent in Demand	npact Fee verable Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Debt F Existing	ed <sup>(2)</sup> <u>Proposed</u>	Non-Debt Funded <sup>(2)</sup>	Impact Fee
Lake Ray Roberts	\$	4,693,949	10%	\$ 469,395	\$ 469,395	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 469,395
54" Finished Water Transmission Line		293,637	57%	167,401	140,694	-	26,706	167,401
Loop 288 Water Main - Sherman to UNT		133,552	65%	86,809	86,809	-	-	86,809
Loop 288 Water Main - Sherman to Hwy 380		183,135	0%	-	-	-	-	-
North-South Water Line Phase I		314,318	10%	31,432	31,432	-	-	31,432
Roselawn Elevated Storage Tank		192,877	27%	51,434	51,434	-	-	51,434
North-South Water Line		565,815	10%	56,581	37,230	-	19,352	56,581
Locust Water Line Upsize		1,281,776	3%	36,622	31,251		5,371	36,622
Hickory Water Line Upsize		498,444	1%	7,121	2,406	-	4,714	7,121
42" Jim Christal EST Transmission Main		377,981	81%	307,109	-	307,109	-	307,109
24/48" Loop 288 Transmission Main		2,115,928	58%	1,237,183	-	1,237,183	-	1,237,183
Water Impact Fee Report Preparation		3,062	100%	3,062	-	-	3,062	3,062
Total	\$	10,654,473		\$ 2,454,148	\$ 850,650	\$ 1,544,292	\$ 59,206	\$ 2,454,148

<sup>(1)</sup> Derived from Table 2.1 Water Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost for Zone 1A

<sup>(2)</sup> Per discussions with City staff and City files

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Credit Determination Water Service Area Zone 1A

2024 Service Units<sup>(1)</sup> 82,096

Ten Year Growth in Service Units<sup>(2)</sup> 1,616 10 years Annual Growth in Service Units 162

	 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	10		Total
Debt Service for Debt Funded Projects Eligible for Impact Fees <sup>(3)</sup> Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources	\$ 74,624 74,624	 ,	\$ 96,944 96,944	\$ 108,104 108,104	\$ 119,264 119,264	\$ 130,423 130,423	\$ 141,583 141,583	\$ 152,743 152,743	5	163,903 163,903	\$ 175,063 175,063	_	1,248,435 1,248,435
Current Service Units	82,258	82,419	82,581	82,742	82,904	83,066	83,227	83,389		83,550	83,712		
Total Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources per Service Unit	\$ 0.91	\$ 1.04	\$ 1.17	\$ 1.31	\$ 1.44	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.70	\$ 1.83	\$	1.96	\$ 2.09		
Annual Growth in Service Units (Cumulative)	162	323	485	646	808	970	1,131	1,293		1,454	1,616		
Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources	\$ 147	\$ 336	\$ 569	\$ 844	\$ 1,162	\$ 1,522	\$ 1,924	\$ 2,368	5	2,853	\$ 3,379	\$	15,105

<sup>(1)</sup> Derived from Table 2.5 10-year Additional Single-Family Equivalent Calculation (2) Derived from Table 2.6 10-year Additional SFE Water Zone Distribution (3) Water Appendices - page 2 Section II

15,105

Credit Amount

City of Denton - 2024 Water Impact Fee Update Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Water Service Area Zone 1B

0	Existing Fund Balance	\$ -
1	Existing Number of Service Units Over Entire City	82,096
2	Additional Service Units Added During Planning Period In the Service Area	29,427
3	Total Cost of the Water Impact Fee CIP	\$ 573,022,860
4	Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee Planning Period	\$ 292,309,445
5	Percent Recoverable for Water Impact Fee Planning Period (Line 4 / Line 3)	51.01%
6	Financing Costs (From Financial Analysis)	\$ 129,102,582
7	Interest Earnings (From Financial Analysis)	\$ (81,750,244)
8	Recoverable Cost of Water Impact Fee and Financing Costs Less Balance (Line 5 + Line 7 + Line 8 - Line 0)	\$ 339,661,784
9	Pre-Credit Maximum Fee (Line 8 / Line 2)	\$ 11,542
10	Credit for Utility Revenues (From Financial Analysis)	\$ (23,479,052)
11	Recoverable Cost of Water Impact Fee and Financing (Line 8 + Line 10)	\$ 316,182,731
12	Maximum Assessable Fee (Line 11 / Line 2)	\$ 10,745

#### SUMMARY OF WATER IMPACT FEE DETERMINATION

Water Service Area Zone 1B

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 292,309,445	Table 2.1
Financing Cost	129,102,582	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	-	Water Appendices - page 1
Interest Earnings	(81,750,244)	Water Appendices - page 3
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 339,661,784	Sum of Above
Credit for Utility Revenues	(23,479,052)	Water Appendices - page 6
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 316,182,731	Total Cost of the Water Impact Fee CIP

#### Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees.

Reference is Table 2.1 Water Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost

#### Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interest costs are derived one-half of the new impact fee project costs will be funded through new debt issues (Page 7 of Appendix E - ). Interest costs are derived from forecasted debt issues. from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 376,804,966	Water Appendices - page 2
Existing Annual Debt Service	39,438,370	Water Appendices - page 2
Principal Component (New and Existing Debt)	(287,140,753)	Water Appendices - page 1
Financing Costs	\$ 129.102.582	

#### **Existing Fund Balance:**

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2018 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates.

To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Water Appendices.

#### Interest Earnings

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 1.89% annual interest rate.

The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of Accumulated Interest on page 3 of Water Appendices.

#### Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Existing Fund Balance and Interest Earnings.

#### Credit for Utility Revenues

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes utility revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects. Reference is page 6 of Water Appendices.

#### Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Utility Revenues.

This is the maximum cost that can be recovered through impact fees.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Water Service Area Zone 1B

#### I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits<sup>(1)</sup>

Annual Service Unit Growth<sup>(2)</sup>

Existing Fund Balance<sup>(3)</sup>

\$

Total Cost of the Water Impact Fee CIP
Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt<sup>(4)</sup>

Recoverable

New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt<sup>(6)</sup>

Total Recoverable Project Cost<sup>(7)</sup>

\$ 26,430,833
5,168,692
260,709,921

2.50%

2,943

\$ 292,309,445

#### II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

	Principal <sup>(8)</sup>	Interest <sup>(9)</sup>	<u>Term</u>		
1	\$ 26,070,992	3.80%	20		
2	26,070,992	3.80%	20		
3	26,070,992	3.80%	20		
4	26,070,992	3.80%	20		
5	26,070,992	3.80%	20		
6	26,070,992	3.80%	20		
7	26,070,992	3.80%	20		
8	26,070,992	3.80%	20		
9	26,070,992	3.80%	20		
10	26,070,992	3.80%	20		

Total \$ 260,709,921

#### III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

	Annual Capital
Year	Expenditures (10)
1	\$ 13,552,365
2	26,587,861
3	26,587,861
4	26,587,861
5	26,587,861
6	26,587,861
7	26,587,861
8	26,587,861
9	26,587,861
10	39,623,357
Total	\$ 265.878.613

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff
- (2) Derived from Table 2.6 10-year Additional SFE Water Zone Distribution
- (3) Balance from 03/30/2024 provided by City Staff
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (5) From allocation per City Staff; assumes 0% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt
- (6) This assumes 100% of new project costs funded through new debt issues
- (7) Table 2.1 Water Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Estimated interest on future debt per discussions with City Staff
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 2-year timeframe.

Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated in equal annual amounts

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Debt Service and Expense Summary Water Service Area Zone 1B

#### I. New Debt Service Detail

<u>Year</u> :	Series of the Water Imr	Series	Series <u>3</u>	Series	Series <u>5</u>	Series <u>6</u>	Series <u>7</u>	Series <u>8</u>	Series <u>9</u>	Series 10	Annual New Debt <u>Service</u>
1	\$		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,884,025
2	1,884,025	1,884,025	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,768,050
3	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,652,074
4	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,536,099
5	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	-	-	-	-	-	9,420,124
6	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	-	-	-	-	11,304,149
7	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	-	-	-	13,188,174
8	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	-	-	15,072,199
9	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	-	16,956,223
10	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	18,840,248
11	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	18,840,248
12	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	18,840,248
13	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	18,840,248
14	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	18,840,248
15	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	18,840,248
16	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	18,840,248
17	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	18,840,248
18	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	18,840,248
19	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	18,840,248
20	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	18,840,248
21	-	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	16,956,223
22	-	-	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	15,072,199
23	-	-	-	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	13,188,174
24	-	-	-	-	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	11,304,149
25	-	-	-	-	-	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	9,420,124
26	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	7,536,099
27	-	-	-	-	-		-	1,884,025	1,884,025	1,884,025	5,652,074
28	-	-	-	-	-		- `	-	1,884,025	1,884,025	3,768,050
29	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	1,884,025	1,884,025
-	\$ 37,680,497 \$	37,680,497	\$ 37,680,497	\$ 37,680,497	\$ 37,680,497	\$ 37,680,497	\$ 37,680,497	\$ 37,680,497	\$ 37,680,497	\$ 37,680,497	\$ 376,804,966

#### II. Summary of Annual Expenses

	New			Existing		
	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual		
	Debt	Capital	Bond	Debt	Annual	Total
Year	Service <sup>(1)</sup>	Expenditures <sup>(2)</sup>	Proceeds (2)	Service <sup>(3)</sup>	Credit <sup>(4)</sup>	Expense
1	\$ 1,884,025	\$ 13,552,365	\$ (26,070,992)	\$ 1,971,918	\$ (133,433)	\$ (8,796,117)
2	3,768,050	26,587,861	(26,070,992)	1,971,918		5,872,866
3	5,652,074	26,587,861	(26,070,992)	1,971,918	(740,244)	7,400,618
4	7,536,099	26,587,861	(26,070,992)	1,971,918	(1,192,307)	8,832,580
5	9,420,124	26,587,861	(26,070,992)	1,971,918	(1,731,425)	10,177,487
6	11,304,149	26,587,861	(26,070,992)	1,971,918	(2,349,893)	11,443,043
7	13,188,174	26,587,861	(26,070,992)	1,971,918	(3,040,891)	12,636,071
8	15,072,199	26,587,861	(26,070,992)	1,971,918	(3,798,356)	13,762,630
9	16,956,223	26,587,861	(26,070,992)	1,971,918	(4,616,885)	14,828,126
10	18,840,248	39,623,357	(26,070,992)	1,971,918	(5,491,644)	28,872,888
11	18,840,248	-	-	1,971,918	-	20,812,167
12	18,840,248	-	-	1,971,918	-	20,812,167
13	18,840,248	-	-	1,971,918	-	20,812,167
14	18,840,248	-	-	1,971,918	-	20,812,167
15	18,840,248	-	-	1,971,918	-	20,812,167
16	18,840,248	-	-	1,971,918	-	20,812,167
17	18,840,248	-	-	1,971,918	-	20,812,167
18	18,840,248	-	-	1,971,918	-	20,812,167
19	18,840,248	-	-	1,971,918	-	20,812,167
20	18,840,248	-	-	1,971,918	-	20,812,167
21	16,956,223	-	-	-	-	16,956,223
22	15,072,199	-	-	-	-	15,072,199
23	13,188,174	-	-	-	-	13,188,174
24	11,304,149	-	-	-	-	11,304,149
25	9,420,124	-	-	-	-	9,420,124
26	7,536,099	-	-	-	-	7,536,099
27	5,652,074	-	-	-	-	5,652,074
28	3,768,050	-	-	-	-	3,768,050
29	1,884,025	-	-	-	-	1,884,025
	\$376,804,966	\$265,878,613	\$ (260,709,921)	\$ 39,438,370	\$ (23,479,052)	\$397,932,976

<sup>(1)</sup> Water Appendices - page 2 Section I (2) Water Appendices - page 1

Total

<sup>(3)</sup> Actual timing of payment varies. Calculated P&I above assumes City's estimated average cost of outstanding system debt and constant annual debt service payments.

<sup>(4)</sup> Water Appendices - page 6

### Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Revenue Test Water Service Area Zone 1B

<u>Year</u>	Impact <u>Fee</u>	Service <u>Units</u>	Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>	Annual <u>Expenses</u>	<u>Sub-Total</u>	Accumulated Interest	Estimated Fund <u>Balance</u>
Initial 7	Γotal Cost of the V	Nater Impact	Fee CIP				\$ -
1	\$ 10,745	2,943	\$ 31,618,273	\$ (8,796,117)	\$ 40,414,390	\$ 505,180	40,919,570
2	10,745	2,943	31,618,273	5,872,866	25,745,407	1,344,807	68,009,784
3	10,745	2,943	31,618,273	7,400,618	24,217,655	2,002,965	94,230,405
4	10,745	2,943	31,618,273	8,832,580	22,785,693	2,640,581	119,656,679
5	10,745	2,943	31,618,273	10,177,487	21,440,786	3,259,427	144,356,893
6	10,745	2,943	31,618,273	11,443,043	20,175,230	3,861,113	168,393,235
7	10,745	2,943	31,618,273	12,636,071	18,982,203	4,447,108	191,822,546
8	10,745	2,943	31,618,273	13,762,630	17,855,643	5,018,759	214,696,949
9	10,745	2,943	31,618,273	14,828,126	16,790,147	5,577,301	237,064,397
10	10,745	2,943	31,618,273	28,872,888	2,745,385	5,960,927	245,770,709
11	-	-	-	20,812,167	(20,812,167)	5,884,116	230,842,658
12	-	-	-	20,812,167	(20,812,167)	5,510,914	215,541,406
13	-	-	-	20,812,167	(20,812,167)	5,128,383	199,857,622
14	-	-	-	20,812,167	(20,812,167)	4,736,288	183,781,744
15	-	-	-	20,812,167	(20,812,167)	4,334,392	167,303,968
16	-	-	-	20,812,167	(20,812,167)	3,922,447	150,414,249
17	-	-	-	20,812,167	(20,812,167)	3,500,204	133,102,286
18	-	-	-	20,812,167	(20,812,167)	3,067,405	115,357,524
19	-	-	-	20,812,167	(20,812,167)	2,623,786	97,169,144
20	-	-	-	20,812,167	(20,812,167)	2,169,077	78,526,053
21	-	-	-	16,956,223	(16,956,223)	1,751,199	63,321,028
22	-	-	-	15,072,199	(15,072,199)	1,394,623	49,643,453
23	-	-	-	13,188,174	(13,188,174)	1,076,234	37,531,513
24	-	-	-	11,304,149	(11,304,149)	796,986	27,024,350
25	-	-	-	9,420,124	(9,420,124)	557,857	18,162,083
26	-	-	-	7,536,099	(7,536,099)	359,851	10,985,835
27	<del>-</del>	-	-	5,652,074	(5,652,074)	203,995	5,537,755
28	-	-	-	3,768,050	(3,768,050)	91,343	1,861,049
29	-	-	<u> </u>	1,884,025	(1,884,025)	22,976	<u>-</u>
			316,182,731	397,932,976		81,750,244	

# Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Water Service Area Zone 1B

		Future Value	Escalation				
	Number of	Interest	Recovery				
	Years to	Rate	Fee	Annual Se	vice Units	Annual	Expense
Year	of the Water Impact		Factor	Actual	Escalated	Actual	Escalated
1	29	2.0215	1.0000	2,943	-,	\$ (8,796,117)	\$ (17,780,921)
2	28	1.9721	1.0000	2,943	5,804	5,872,866	11,582,158
3	27	1.9240	1.0000	2,943	5,662	7,400,618	14,239,132
4	26	1.8771	1.0000	2,943	5,524	8,832,580	16,579,798
5	25	1.8313	1.0000	2,943	5,389	10,177,487	18,638,388
6	24	1.7867	1.0000	2,943	5,258	11,443,043	20,444,923
7	23	1.7431	1.0000	2,943	5,129	12,636,071	22,025,821
8	22	1.7006	1.0000	2,943	5,004	13,762,630	23,404,407
9	21	1.6591	1.0000	2,943	4,882	14,828,126	24,601,331
10	20	1.6186	1.0000	2,943	4,763	28,872,888	46,734,618
11	19	1.5792	1.0000	-	-	20,812,167	32,865,626
12	18	1.5406	1.0000	-	-	20,812,167	32,064,025
13	17	1.5031	1.0000	-	-	20,812,167	31,281,976
14	16	1.4664	1.0000	-	-	20,812,167	30,519,001
15		1.4306	1.0000	-	-	20,812,167	29,774,635
16	14	1.3957	1.0000	-	-	20,812,167	29,048,424
17		1.3617	1.0000	-	-	20,812,167	28,339,926
18	12	1.3285	1.0000	-	-	20,812,167	27,648,708
19		1.2961	1.0000	-	-	20,812,167	26,974,350
20		1.2645	1.0000	-	-	20,812,167	26,316,439
21		1.2336	1.0000	-	-	16,956,223	20,917,756
22		1.2035	1.0000	-	-	15,072,199	18,140,059
23		1.1742	1.0000	-	-	13,188,174	15,485,416
24		1.1456	1.0000	-	-	11,304,149	12,949,477
25		1.1176	1.0000	-	-	9,420,124	10,528,030
26		1.0904	1.0000	-	-	7,536,099	8,216,999
27		1.0638	1.0000	-	-	5,652,074	6,012,438
28		1.0378	1.0000	-	-	3,768,050	3,910,529
29	11	1.0125	1.0000			1,884,025	1,907,575
					53,364		\$ 573,371,044
	Δr	nnual Interest Ra	to:			2.50%	
	A	ilidai ilitorost ika	ю.			2.50 /0	'
	Pr	esent Value of Ir	itial Impact Fee I	Fund Balance		\$ -	
	To	ital Escalated Ex	pense for Entire	Period		\$ 573,371,044	
	Le	ss Future Value	•	Fee Fund Balance		-	_
		Sub-Total				\$ 573,371,044	
	To	otal Escalated Se	rvice Units		_	53,364	<u>-</u>
	Im	pact Fee for Wa	ater Service Are	a		\$ 10,745	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Project Funding Water Service Area Zone 1B

		Cost In Impact Fee			Debt F	unde	d <sup>(2)</sup>	Non-Debt	Impact Fee			
Impact Fee Project Name <sup>(1)</sup>		ervice Area (1)	Recov	erable Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Existing Proposed				Funded <sup>(2)</sup>		Recoverable Cost	
	\$	85.485.098	•	0.540.540	•	0.540.540	•		\$ -	\$	0.540.540	
Lake Ray Roberts	\$	, ,	\$	8,548,510	Ъ	8,548,510	Ъ	-	•	\$	8,548,510	
54" Finished Water Transmission Line		5,347,650		3,048,662		2,562,290		-	486,372		3,048,662	
Loop 288 Water Main - Sherman to UNT		2,432,212		1,580,938		1,580,938		-	-		1,580,938	
Loop 288 Water Main - Sherman to Hwy 380		3,335,217				-		-	-		-	
Northwest Elevated Storage Tank		2,339,988		58,500		58,500		-	-		58,500	
Southwest Pump Station		3,400,717 163,638		1,700,358 66,971		1,700,358 66,971		-	-		1,700,358 66,971	
Southwest PS Oversize Discharge Line (30" to 36")		,						-	-		572.429	
North-South Water Line Phase I		5,724,283		572,429		572,429			-		- , -	
Roselawn Elevated Storage Tank		3,512,633		936,702		936,702		-	-		936,702	
Roselawn Water Line		1,033,884		103,388		103,388		-	-		103,388	
Southwest Elevated Storage Tank		3,112,115		77,803		77,803		-	-		77,803	
North-South Water Line		10,304,485		1,030,449		678,022		-	352,426		1,030,449	
Allred Road / John Paine Road Water Lines		3,411,070		1,169,510		301,745		-	867,765		1,169,510	
McKinney Water Line		1,200,600		85,758		63,329		-	22,429		85,758	
I-35E Frontage Water Line		1,020,510		72,894		72,894		-	-		72,894	
Northwest Booster Pump Station		8,383,268		7,093,535		4,417,073		-	2,676,462		7,093,535	
36" Northwest Water Line		8,504,928		5,397,358		4,689,881			707,477		5,397,358	
Lake Ray Roberts WTP Rerate to 30 MGD		23,008,902		23,008,902		-		23,008,902	-		23,008,902	
Lake Ray Roberts WTP 20 MGD Expansion to 50 MGD		112,654,462		112,654,462		-		112,654,462	-		112,654,462	
16" Northwest I-35 Frontage Rd Water Line		4,524,000		2,442,960		-		2,442,960	-		2,442,960	
16" I-35W/Corbin Water Line		2,722,100		598,862		-		598,862	-		598,862	
42" Jim Christal EST Transmission Main		6,883,699		5,593,006		-		5,593,006	-		5,593,006	
24/30" West Allred Rd Transmission Main Oversize		426,815		426,815		-		426,815	-		426,815	
24" Rosebrook Transmission Main		5,424,349		3,254,609		-		3,254,609	-		3,254,609	
24" North/South Transmission Main		13,467,686		11,671,994		-		11,671,994	-		11,671,994	
12" Cooper Creek Rd Water Line		14,883,000		4,464,900		-		4,464,900	-		4,464,900	
12" N Mayhill Rd Water Line		4,363,000		959,860		-		959,860	-		959,860	
12" Duchess Dr Water Line		2,185,000		655,500		-		655,500	-		655,500	
12" Shady Oaks Dr Water Line		3,048,000		1,097,280		-		1,097,280	-		1,097,280	
12" Stuart Ridge Water Line		4,826,000		2,219,960		-		2,219,960	-		2,219,960	
Lake Ray Roberts HSPS Improvements Phase 1		3,180,981		3,180,981		-		3,180,981	-		3,180,981	
Lake Ray Roberts WTP 54/60" Transmission Main		67,855,554		39,675,142		-		39,675,142	-		39,675,142	
Lake Ray Roberts WTP 10 MGD Expansion to 60 MGD		56,327,231		5,632,723		-		5,632,723	-		5,632,723	
24/48" Loop 288 Transmission Main		38,534,776		22,531,284		-		22,531,284	-		22,531,284	
Lake Ray Roberts HSPS Improvements Phase 2		3,180,981		1,097,964		-		1,097,964	-		1,097,964	
Southwest PS Improvements		5,802,270		1,441,337		-		1,441,337	-		1,441,337	
12" Northwest Water Line		13,235,000		3,970,500		-		3,970,500	-		3,970,500	
16" Milam Rd Water Line		9,947,000		5,769,260		-		5,769,260	-		5,769,260	
16" North Central Water Line		12,188,000		3,656,400		-		3,656,400	-		3,656,400	
12" N Locust Rd to E Sherman Dr Water Line		3,959,000		1,187,700		-		1,187,700	-		1,187,700	
12" North Cooper Creek Rd Water Line		8,286,000		2,982,960		-		2,982,960	-		2,982,960	
12" Swisher Rd Water Line		3,341,000		534,560		-		534,560	-		534,560	
Water Impact Fee Report Preparation		55,761		55,761					55,761		55,761	
Total	\$	573,022,860	\$	292,309,445	\$	26,430,833	\$	260,709,921	\$ 5,168,692	\$	292,309,445	

<sup>(1)</sup> Derived from Table 2.1 Water Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost for Zone 1B

<sup>(2)</sup> Per discussions with City staff and City files

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Credit Determination Water Service Area Zone 1B

2024 Service Units<sup>(1)</sup> 82,096 Ten Year Growth in Sen Total Cost of the Water Impact Fee CIP 29,427

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total
Debt Service for Debt Funded Projects Eligible for Impact Fees (3) Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources	\$ 3,855,943 \$ 3,855,943	, ., ,	\$ 7,623,993 \$ 7,623,993	1 - 1 1	. ,,	, .,	\$15,160,092 \$15,160,092		1 -11	1 -1- 1 -	\$123,340,551 \$123,340,551
Current Service Units	85,039	87,981	90,924	93,867	96,810	99,752	102,695	105,638	108,581	111,523	
Total Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources per Service Unit	\$ 45.34	\$ 65.24	\$ 83.85	\$ 101.29	\$ 117.67	\$ 133.09	\$ 147.62	\$ 161.34	\$ 174.32	\$ 186.62	
Annual Growth in Service Units (Cumulative)	2,943	5,885	8,828	11,771	14,714	17,656	20,599	23,542	26,485	29,427	
Annual Water Rate Revenue Generated by Service Unit for Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources	\$ 133,433	\$ 383,972	\$ 740,244	\$ 1,192,307	\$ 1,731,425	\$ 2,349,893	\$ 3,040,891	\$ 3,798,356	\$ 4,616,885	\$ 5,491,644	\$ 23,479,052

23,479,052

Credit Amount

<sup>(1)</sup> Derived from Table 2.5 10-year Additional Single-Family Equivalent Calculation (2) Derived from Table 2.6 10-year Additional SFE Water Zone Distribution (3) Water Appendices - page 2 Section II

City of Denton - 2024 Water Impact Fee Update Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Water Service Area Zone 2

0	Existing Fund Balance	\$ -
1	Existing Number of Service Units Over Entire City	82,096
2	Additional Service Units Added During Planning Period In the Service Area	21,731
3	Total Cost of the Water Impact Fee CIP	\$ 419,386,122
4	Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee Planning Period	\$ 240,510,198
5	Percent Recoverable for Water Impact Fee Planning Period (Line 4 / Line 3)	57.35%
6	Financing Costs (From Financial Analysis)	\$ 106,564,481
7	Interest Earnings (From Financial Analysis)	\$ (68,197,039)
8	Recoverable Cost of Water Impact Fee and Financing Costs Less Balance (Line 5 + Line 7 + Line 8 - Line 0)	\$ 278,877,639
9	Pre-Credit Maximum Fee (Line 8 / Line 2)	\$ 12,833
10	Credit for Utility Revenues (From Financial Analysis)	\$ (15,078,415)
11	Recoverable Cost of Water Impact Fee and Financing (Line 8 + Line 10)	\$ 263,799,224
12	Maximum Assessable Fee (Line 11 / Line 2)	\$ 12,139

#### SUMMARY OF WATER IMPACT FEE DETERMINATION

Water Service Area Zone 2

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 240,510,198	Table 2.1
Financing Cost	106,564,481	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	-	Water Appendices - page 1
Interest Earnings	(68,197,039)	Water Appendices - page 3
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 278,877,639	Sum of Above
Credit for Utility Revenues	(15,078,415)	Water Appendices - page 6
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 263,799,224	

#### Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees.

Reference is Table 2.1 Water Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost

#### Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interest costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 315,826,720	Water Appendices - page 2
Existing Annual Debt Service	28,067,078	Water Appendices - page 2
Principal Component (New and Existing Debt)	 (237,329,318)	Water Appendices - page 1
Financing Costs	\$ 106.564.481	

#### **Existing Fund Balance:**

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2018 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates.

To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Water Appendices.

#### Interest Earnings

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 1.89% annual interest rate.

The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of Accumulated Interest on page 3 of Water Appendices.

#### Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Existing Fund Balance and Interest Earnings.

#### Credit for Utility Revenues

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes utility revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects. Reference is page 6 of Water Appendices.

#### Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Utility Revenues.

This is the maximum cost that can be recovered through impact fees.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Water Service Area Zone 2

#### I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits<sup>(1)</sup>

Annual Service Unit Growth<sup>(2)</sup>

Existing Fund Balance<sup>(3)</sup>

\$ -

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt<sup>(4)</sup>
Non-debt Funded Project Cost<sup>(5)</sup>
New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt<sup>(6)</sup>
Total Recoverable Project Cost<sup>(7)</sup>

\$ 18,810,013 3,180,880 218,519,305

\$ 240,510,198

#### II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	<u>Principal<sup>(8)</sup></u>	<u>Interest<sup>(9)</sup></u>	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 21,851,930	3.80%	20
2	21,851,930	3.80%	20
3	21,851,930	3.80%	20
4	21,851,930	3.80%	20
5	21,851,930	3.80%	20
6	21,851,930	3.80%	20
7	21,851,930	3.80%	20
8	21,851,930	3.80%	20
9	21,851,930	3.80%	20
10	21,851,930	3.80%	20
Total	\$ 218,519,305		

#### III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

	Annual Capital
<u>Year</u>	Expenditures <sup>(10)</sup>
1	\$ 11,244,053
2	22,170,018
3	22,170,018
4	22,170,018
5	22,170,018
6	22,170,018
7	22,170,018
8	22,170,018
9	22,170,018
10	33,095,984
Total	\$ 221,700,185

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff
- (2) Derived from Table 2.6 10-year Additional SFE Water Zone Distribution
- (3) Balance from 03/30/2024 provided by City Staff
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (5) From allocation per City Staff; assumes 0% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt
- (6) This assumes 100% of new project costs funded through new debt issues
- (7) Table 2.1 Water Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Estimated interest on future debt per discussions with City Staff
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 2-year timeframe.
  Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated in equal annual amounts

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Debt Service and Expense Summary Water Service Area Zone 2

#### I. New Debt Service Detail

<u>Year</u>	Series	Series	Series	Series	Series <u>5</u>	Series <u>6</u>	Series <u>7</u>	Series <u>8</u>	Series <u>9</u>	Series <u>10</u>	Total Annual New Debt <u>Service</u>
1 \$	1,579,134	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$	- \$	-	\$ - \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,579,134
2	1,579,134	1,579,134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,158,267
3	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,737,401
4	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,316,534
5	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	-	-	-	-	-	7,895,668
6	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	-	-	-	-	9,474,802
7	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	-	-	-	11,053,935
8	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	-	-	12,633,069
9	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	-	14,212,202
10	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	15,791,336
11	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	15,791,336
12	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	15,791,336
13	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	15,791,336
14	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	15,791,336
15	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	15,791,336
16	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	15,791,336
17	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	15,791,336
18	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	15,791,336
19	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	15,791,336
20	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	15,791,336
21	-	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	14,212,202
22	-	-	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	12,633,069
23	-	-	-	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	11,053,935
24	-	-	-	-	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	9,474,802
25	-	-	-	-		1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	7,895,668
26	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	6,316,534
27	-	-	-	-		-	-	1,579,134	1,579,134	1,579,134	4,737,401
28	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	1,579,134	1,579,134	3,158,267
29	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	1,579,134	1,579,134
\$	31,582,672	\$ 31,582,672	\$ 31,582,672	\$ 31,582,672 \$	31,582,672 \$	31,582,672	\$ 31,582,672 \$	31,582,672	\$ 31,582,672	\$ 31,582,672	\$ 315,826,720

#### II. Summary of Annual Expenses

Year	New Annual Debt <u>Service<sup>(1)</sup></u>	Annual Capital Expenditures <sup>(2)</sup>	Annual Bond <u>Proceeds<sup>(2)</sup></u>	Existing Annual Debt Service <sup>(3)</sup>	Annual <u>Credit<sup>(4)</sup></u>	Total <u>Expense</u>
1	\$ 1,579,134	\$ 11,244,053 \$	\$ (21,851,930)	\$ 1,403,354	\$ (76,911)	\$ (7,702,300)
2	3,158,267	22,170,018	(21,851,930)	1,403,354	(229,351)	4,650,358
3	4,737,401	22,170,018	(21,851,930)	1,403,354	(451,763)	6,007,079
4	6,316,534	22,170,018	(21,851,930)	1,403,354	(739,124)	7,298,852
5	7,895,668	22,170,018	(21,851,930)	1,403,354	(1,086,878)	8,530,232
6	9,474,802	22,170,018	(21,851,930)	1,403,354	(1,490,887)	9,705,356
7	11,053,935	22,170,018	(21,851,930)	1,403,354	(1,947,382)	10,827,995
8	12,633,069	22,170,018	(21,851,930)	1,403,354	(2,452,924)	11,901,587
9	14,212,202	22,170,018	(21,851,930)	1,403,354	(3,004,367)	12,929,278
10	15,791,336	33,095,984	(21,851,930)	1,403,354	(3,598,828)	24,839,915
11	15,791,336	-	-	1,403,354	-	17,194,690
12	15,791,336	-	-	1,403,354	-	17,194,690
13	15,791,336	-	-	1,403,354	-	17,194,690
14	15,791,336	-	-	1,403,354	-	17,194,690
15	15,791,336	-	-	1,403,354	-	17,194,690
16	15,791,336	-	-	1,403,354	-	17,194,690
17	15,791,336	-	-	1,403,354	-	17,194,690
18	15,791,336	-	-	1,403,354	-	17,194,690
19	15,791,336	-	-	1,403,354	-	17,194,690
20	15,791,336	-	-	1,403,354	-	17,194,690
21	14,212,202	-	-	-	-	14,212,202
22	12,633,069	-	-	-	-	12,633,069
23	11,053,935	-	-	-	-	11,053,935
24	9,474,802	-	-	-	-	9,474,802
25	7,895,668	-	-	-	-	7,895,668
26	6,316,534	-	-	-	-	6,316,534
27	4,737,401	-	-	-	-	4,737,401
28	3,158,267	-	-	-	-	3,158,267
29	1,579,134	-	<u> </u>	-	-	1,579,134
	\$315,826,720	\$ 221,700,185	\$ (218,519,305)	\$ 28,067,078	\$ (15,078,415)	\$331,996,263

<sup>(1)</sup> Water Appendices - page 2 Section I

<sup>(2)</sup> Water Appendices - page 1

<sup>(3)</sup> Actual timing of payment varies. Calculated P&I above assumes City's estimated average cost of outstanding system debt and constant annual debt service payments.

<sup>(4)</sup> Water Appendices - page 6

### Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Revenue Test Water Service Area Zone 2

<u>Year</u>	Impact <u>Fee</u>	Service <u>Units</u>	Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>	Annual <u>Expenses</u>	<u>Sub-Total</u>	Accumulated Interest	Estimated Fund <u>Balance</u>
Initial							\$ -
1	\$ 12,139	2,173	\$ 26,379,922	\$ (7,702,300)	\$ 34,082,223	\$ 426,028	34,508,251
2	12,139	2,173	26,379,922	4,650,358	21,729,564	1,134,326	57,372,140
3	12,139	2,173	26,379,922	6,007,079	20,372,843	1,688,964	79,433,947
4	12,139	2,173	26,379,922	7,298,852	19,081,070	2,224,362	100,739,380
5	12,139	2,173	26,379,922	8,530,232	17,849,691	2,741,606	121,330,676
6	12,139	2,173	26,379,922	9,705,356	16,674,566	3,241,699	141,246,941
7	12,139	2,173	26,379,922	10,827,995	15,551,928	3,725,573	160,524,441
8	12,139	2,173	26,379,922	11,901,587	14,478,336	4,194,090	179,196,867
9	12,139	2,173	26,379,922	12,929,278	13,450,645	4,648,055	197,295,567
10	12,139	2,173	26,379,922	24,839,915	1,540,007	4,951,639	203,787,213
11	-	-	-	17,194,690	(17,194,690)	4,879,747	191,472,270
12	-	-	-	17,194,690	(17,194,690)	4,571,873	178,849,453
13	-	-	-	17,194,690	(17,194,690)	4,256,303	165,911,066
14	-	-	- ,	17,194,690	(17,194,690)	3,932,843	152,649,219
15	-	-	-	17,194,690	(17, 194, 690)	3,601,297	139,055,826
16	-	-	-	17,194,690	(17,194,690)	3,261,462	125,122,598
17	-	-	-	17,194,690	(17,194,690)	2,913,131	110,841,040
18	-	-	-	17,194,690	(17,194,690)	2,556,092	96,202,442
19	-	-	-	17,194,690	(17,194,690)	2,190,127	81,197,880
20	-	-	-	17,194,690	(17,194,690)	1,815,013	65,818,203
21	-	-	-	14,212,202	(14,212,202)	1,467,803	53,073,803
22	-	-	-	12,633,069	(12,633,069)	1,168,932	41,609,666
23	-	-	-	11,053,935	(11,053,935)	902,067	31,457,799
24	-	-	-	9,474,802	(9,474,802)	668,010	22,651,007
25	-	-	-	7,895,668	(7,895,668)	467,579	15,222,918
26	-	-	-	6,316,534	(6,316,534)	301,616	9,208,000
27	_	-	-	4,737,401	(4,737,401)	170,982	4,641,582
28	-	-	-	3,158,267	(3,158,267)	76,561	1,559,876
29	-	-		1,579,134	(1,579,134)	19,258	<del>-</del>
			263,799,224	331,996,263		68,197,039	•

# Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Water Service Area Zone 2

		Future Value	Escalation				
	Number of	Interest	Recovery				
	Years to	Rate	Fee	Annual So	ervice Units	Ann	ual Expense
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<b>Escalated</b>	<u>Actual</u>	<b>Escalated</b>
	00	0.0045	4 0000	0.470	4.000	A (7.700)	200) # (45 500 004)
1	29	2.0215	1.0000	2,173	4,393		
2	28	1.9721	1.0000	2,173	4,286	4,650,	
3	27	1.9240	1.0000	2,173	4,181	6,007,	
4	26	1.8771	1.0000	2,173	4,079	7,298,	
5	25	1.8313	1.0000	2,173	3,980	8,530,	
6	24	1.7867	1.0000	2,173	3,883	9,705,	
7	23	1.7431	1.0000	2,173	3,788	10,827,	
8	22	1.7006	1.0000	2,173	3,695	11,901,	
9	21	1.6591	1.0000	2,173	3,605	12,929,	
10	20	1.6186	1.0000	2,173	3,517	24,839,	
11	19	1.5792	1.0000	-	-	17,194,	
12	18	1.5406	1.0000	-	-	17,194,	
13	17	1.5031	1.0000	-	-	17,194,	
14	16	1.4664	1.0000	-	-	17,194,	
15	15	1.4306	1.0000	-	-	17,194,	
16	14	1.3957	1.0000		-	17,194,	
17	13	1.3617	1.0000	-	-	17,194,	
18	12	1.3285	1.0000	-	-	17,194,	
19	11	1.2961	1.0000	-	-	17,194,0	
20	10	1.2645	1.0000	-	-	17,194,0	
21	9	1.2336	1.0000	-	-	14,212,	
22	8	1.2035	1.0000	-	-	12,633,	15,204,458
23	7	1.1742	1.0000	-	-	11,053,	935 12,979,416
24	6	1.1456	1.0000	-	-	9,474,	
25	5	1.1176	1.0000	-	-	7,895,0	· ·
26	4	1.0904	1.0000	-	-	6,316,	
27	3	1.0638	1.0000	-	-	4,737,	
28	2	1.0378	1.0000	-	-	3,158,	
29	1	1.0125	1.0000		-	1,579,	
					39,407		\$ 478,377,917
		Annual Interest Ra	to:			2	50%
		Aimai interest ita				۷.۰	JO 70
		Present Value of In	itial Impact Fee	Fund Balance		\$	-
		Total Escalated Ex				\$ 478,377,	917
		Less Future Value Sub-Total	of Initial Impact I	Fee Fund Balance		\$ 478,377,9	<u>-</u> 917
		Total Escalated Se	rvice Units			39,4	<u>407                                    </u>

Impact Fee for Water Service Area

12,139

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Project Funding Water Service Area Zone 2

Impact Fee Project Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Cost In Service Area (1)	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Debt F <u>Existing</u>	Funded <sup>(2)</sup> <u>Proposed</u>	Non-Debt Funded <sup>(2)</sup>	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost	
Lake Ray Roberts	\$ 63.127.116	s \$ 6.312.712	\$ 6,312,712	¢ .	\$ -	\$ 6,312,712	
54" Finished Water Transmission Line	3,949,012		2,251,307	_	· -	2,251,307	
Loop 288 Water Main - Sherman to UNT	1,796,085		1,167,455	_	_	1,167,455	
Southwest Pump Station	2,511,285		1,255,643			1,255,643	
Southwest PS Oversize Discharge Line (30" to 36")	120,839		49,455	_	_	49,455	
Roselawn Elevated Storage Tank	2,593,930		691,715	_	_	691,715	
Roselawn Water Line	763,479		76,348	_	_	76,348	
Southwest Elevated Storage Tank	2,298,165		57,454		_	57,454	
Allred Road / John Paine Road Water Lines	2,518,930		222,826		640.807	863,633	
Northwest Booster Pump Station	6,190,688		3,261,821	_	1,976,453	5.238.274	
36" Northwest Water Line	6,280,528		3,463,278	_	522,442	3,985,720	
Lake Ray Roberts WTP Rerate to 30 MGD	16,991,098	16,991,098		16,991,098	_	16,991,098	
Lake Ray Roberts WTP 20 MGD Expansion to 50 MGD	83,190,538	83,190,538	-	83,190,538	-	83,190,538	
42" Jim Christal EST Transmission Main	5,083,320	4,130,197		4,130,197	-	4,130,197	
24/30" West Allred Rd Transmission Main Oversize	315,185	315,185		315,185	-	315,185	
16" Ponder Water Line	4,326,000	2,249,520		2,249,520	-	2,249,520	
24/30" Rosebrook/Sanctuary Transmission Main	59,702,000	37,811,267	-	37,811,267	-	37,811,267	
24" Rosebrook Transmission Main	4,005,651	2,403,391	-	2,403,391	-	2,403,391	
24" North/South Transmission Main	9,945,314	8,619,272	-	8,619,272	-	8,619,272	
12" Old Stoney Rd Water Line	3,824,000	994,240	-	994,240	-	994,240	
12" Rosebrook Water Line	4,669,000	1,494,080	-	1,494,080	-	1,494,080	
Lake Ray Roberts HSPS Improvements Phase 1	2,349,019	2,349,019	-	2,349,019	-	2,349,019	
Lake Ray Roberts WTP 54/60" Transmission Main	50,108,446	29,298,408	-	29,298,408	-	29,298,408	
Lake Ray Roberts WTP 10 MGD Expansion to 60 MGD	41,595,269	4,159,527	-	4,159,527	-	4,159,527	
24/48" Loop 288 Transmission Main	28,456,296	16,638,396	-	16,638,396	-	16,638,396	
Lake Ray Roberts HSPS Improvements Phase 2	2,349,019	810,800	-	810,800	-	810,800	
Southwest PS Improvements	4,284,730	1,064,366	-	1,064,366	-	1,064,366	
20" Ponder Farms Transmission Main	4,500,000	4,500,000	-	4,500,000	-	4,500,000	
12" Webster Meadows Transmission Main	1,500,000	1,500,000	-	1,500,000	-	1,500,000	
Water Impact Fee Report Preparation	41,177	41,177	-	-	41,177	41,177	
Total	\$ 419,386,122	\$ 240,510,198	\$ 18,810,013	\$ 218,519,305	\$ 3,180,880	\$ 240,510,198	

<sup>(1)</sup> Derived from Table 2.1 Water Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost for Zone 2 (2) Per discussions with City staff and City files

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Credit Determination Water Service Area Zone 2

2024 Service Units<sup>(1)</sup> 82,096 Ten Year Growth in Service Units(2) 21,731 Annual Growth in Service Units

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total
Debt Service for Debt Funded Projects Eligible for Impact Fees <sup>(3)</sup> Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources	\$2,982,488 \$2,982,488	. ,,.	\$6,140,755 \$6,140,755	+ -		\$ 10,878,156 \$ 10,878,156		\$ 14,036,423 \$ 14,036,423			\$ 100,885,887 \$ 100,885,887
Current Service Units	84,269	86,442	88,615	90,788	92,961	95,134	97,308	99,481	101,654	103,827	
Total Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources per Service Unit	\$ 35.39	\$ 52.77	\$ 69.30	\$ 85.03	\$ 100.03	\$ 114.35	\$ 128.02	\$ 141.10	\$ 153.62	\$ 165.61	
Annual Growth in Service Units (Cumulative)	2,173	4,346	6,519	8,692	10,865	13,038	15,212	17,385	19,558	21,731	
Annual Water Rate Revenue Generated by Service Unit for Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources	\$ 76,911	\$ 229,351	\$ 451,763	\$ 739,124	\$ 1,086,878	\$ 1,490,887	\$ 1,947,382	\$ 2,452,924	\$ 3,004,367	\$ 3,598,828	\$ 15,078,415

<sup>(1)</sup> Derived from Table 2.5 10-year Additional Single-Family Equivalent Calculation (2) Derived from Table 2.6 10-year Additional SFE Water Zone Distribution (3) Water Appendices - page 2 Section II

15,078,415

Credit Amount

# APPENDIX

WASTEWATER FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

City of Denton - 2024 Wastewater Impact Fee Update Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Wastewater Service Area Pecan Creek

0	Existing Fund Balance	,	
0		\$	-
1	Existing Number of Service Units Over Entire City		82,096
2	Additional Service Units Added During Planning Period In the Service Area		7,616
3	Total Cost of the Wastewater Impact Fee CIP	\$	294,802,074
4	Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee Planning Period	\$	69,883,844
5	Percent Recoverable for Wastewater Impact Fee Planning Period (Line 4 / Line 3)		23.71%
6	Financing Costs (From Financial Analysis)	\$	34,160,000
7	Interest Earnings (From Financial Analysis)	\$	(13,234,573)
8	Recoverable Cost of Wastewater Impact Fee and Financing Costs Less Balance (Line 5 + Line 7 + Line 8 - Line 0)	\$	90,809,272
9	Pre-Credit Maximum Fee (Line 8 / Line 2)	\$	11,923
10	Credit for Utility Revenues (From Financial Analysis)	\$	(2,347,057)
11	Recoverable Cost of Wastewater Impact Fee and Financing (Line 8 + Line 10)	\$	88,462,214
12	Maximum Assessable Fee (Line 11 / Line 2)	\$	11,615

#### SUMMARY OF WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE DETERMINATION

Wastewater Service Area: Pecan Creek

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 69,883,844	Table 3.1
Financing Cost	34,160,000	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	-	Wastewater Appendices - page 1
Interest Earnings	(13,234,573)	Wastewater Appendices - page 3
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 90,809,271	Sum of Above
Credit for Utility Revenues	(2,347,057)	Wastewater Appendices - page 6
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 88,462,214	

#### Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees.

Reference is Table 3.1 Wastewater Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost

#### Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interest costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 19,678,504	Wastewater Appendices - page 2
Existing Annual Debt Service	84,255,782	Wastewater Appendices - page 2
Principal Component (New and Existing Debt)	(69,774,285)	Wastewater Appendices - page 1
Financing Costs	\$ 34 160 000	

#### **Existing Fund Balance:**

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2018 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates.

To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Wastewater Appendices.

#### Interest Earnings

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 1.89% annual interest rate.

The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of Accumulated Interest on page 3 of Wastewater Appendices.

#### Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Existing Fund Balance and Interest Earnings.

#### Credit for Utility Revenues

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes utility revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects. Reference is page 6 of Wastewater Appendices.

#### Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Utility Revenues.

This is the maximum cost that can be recovered through impact fees.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Wastewater Service Area: Pecan Creek

#### I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits<sup>(1)</sup>
Annual Service Unit Growth<sup>(2)</sup>
Existing Fund Balance<sup>(3)</sup>

2.50% 762 \$ -

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt<sup>(4)</sup>
Non-debt Funded Project Cost<sup>(5)</sup>
New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt<sup>(6)</sup>
Total Recoverable Project Cost<sup>(7)</sup>

\$ 56,158,803
109,559
13,615,482

69,883,844

#### II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal <sup>(8)</sup>	Interest <sup>(9)</sup>	<u>Term</u>				
1	\$ 1,361,548	3.80%	20				
2	1,361,548	3.80%	20				
3	1,361,548	3.80%	20				
4	1,361,548	3.80%	20				
5	1,361,548	3.80%	20				
6	1,361,548	3.80%	20				
7	1,361,548	3.80%	20				
8	1,361,548	3.80%	20				
9	1,361,548	3.80%	20				
10	1,361,548	3.80%	20				
Total	\$ 13,615,482						

#### III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	<u>Exp</u>	Annual Capital penditures <sup>(10)</sup>
1	\$	691,730
2		1,372,504
3		1,372,504
4		1,372,504
5		1,372,504
6		1,372,504
7		1,372,504
8		1,372,504
9		1,372,504
10		2,053,278
Total	\$	13 725 041

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff
- (2) Derived from Table 3.3 10-year Additional Single-Family Equivalent Calculation
- (3) Balance from 03/30/2024 provided by City Staff
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (5) From allocation per City Staff; assumes 0% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt
- (6) This assumes 100% of new project costs funded through new debt issues
- (7) Table 3.1 Wastewater Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Estimated interest on future debt per discussions with City Staff
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 2-year timeframe.

  Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated in equal annual amounts

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Debt Service and Expense Summary Wastewater Service Area: Pecan Creek

#### I. New Debt Service Detail

<u>Year</u>	Series <u>1</u>	Series	Series	Series	Series <u>5</u>	Series <u>6</u>	Series <u>7</u>	Series	Series	Series 10	Annual New Debt <u>Service</u>
1 \$			- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- :	\$ - \$	98,393
2	98,393	98,393	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	196,785
3	98,393	98,393	98,393	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	295,178
4	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	-	-	-	-	-	-	393,570
5	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	-	-	-	-	-	491,963
6	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	-	-	-	-	590,355
7	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	-	-	-	688,748
8	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	-	-	787,140
9	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	-	885,533
10	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	983,925
11	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	983,925
12	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	983,925
13	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	983,925
14	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	983,925
15	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	983,925
16	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	983,925
17	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	983,925
18	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	983,925
19	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	983,925
20	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	983,925
21	-	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	885,533
22	-	-	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	787,140
23	-	-	-	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	688,748
24	-	-	-	-	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	590,355
25	-	-	-	-		98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	491,963
26	-	-	-	-	-	-	98,393	98,393	98,393	98,393	393,570
27	-	-	-	-	- 7		-	98,393	98,393	98,393	295,178
28	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	98,393	98,393	196,785
29	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	98,393	98,393
\$	1,967,850 \$	1,967,850 \$	1,967,850 \$	1,967,850 \$	1,967,850 \$	1,967,850 \$	1,967,850 \$	1,967,850 \$	1,967,850	\$ 1,967,850 \$	19,678,504

#### II. Summary of Annual Expenses

		lew						Existing			
		nual		Annual		Annual		Annual			
		<u>ebt</u>		Capital .		<u>Bond</u>		<u>Debt</u>	<u>Annual</u>		<u>Total</u>
Year	Ser	vice <sup>(1)</sup>	Expe	nditures (2)	<u>P</u>	roceeds <sup>(2)</sup>	3	Service <sup>(3)</sup>	Credit <sup>(4)</sup>	1	Expense
1	\$	98,393	\$	691,730	\$	(1,361,548)	\$	4,212,789	\$ (39,628)	\$	3,601,735
2		196,785		1,372,504		(1,361,548)		4,212,789	(80,326)		4,340,204
3		295,178		1,372,504		(1,361,548)		4,212,789	(122,066)		4,396,856
4		393,570		1,372,504		(1,361,548)		4,212,789	(164,820)		4,452,495
5		491,963		1,372,504		(1,361,548)		4,212,789	(208,560)		4,507,148
6		590,355		1,372,504		(1,361,548)		4,212,789	(253,260)		4,560,840
7		688,748		1,372,504		(1,361,548)		4,212,789	(298,896)		4,613,596
8		787,140		1,372,504		(1,361,548)		4,212,789	(345,444)		4,665,442
9		885,533		1,372,504		(1,361,548)		4,212,789	(392,879)		4,716,399
10		983,925		2,053,278		(1,361,548)		4,212,789	(441,179)		5,447,265
11		983,925		-		-		4,212,789	-		5,196,714
12		983,925		-		-		4,212,789	-		5,196,714
13		983,925		-		-		4,212,789	-		5,196,714
14		983,925		-		-		4,212,789	-		5,196,714
15		983,925		-		-		4,212,789	-		5,196,714
16		983,925		-		-		4,212,789	-		5,196,714
17		983,925		-		-		4,212,789	-		5,196,714
18		983,925		-		-		4,212,789	-		5,196,714
19		983,925		-		-		4,212,789	-		5,196,714
20		983,925		-		-		4,212,789	-		5,196,714
21		885,533		-		-		-	-		885,533
22		787,140		-		-		-	-		787,140
23		688,748		-		-		-	-		688,748
24		590,355		-		-		-	-		590,355
25		491,963		-		-		-	-		491,963
26		393,570		-		-		-	-		393,570
27		295,178		-		-		-	-		295,178
28		196,785		-		-		-	-		196,785
29		98,393		-		-		-	-		98,393
	\$ 19,	678.504	\$	13.725.041	\$ (	(13.615.482)	\$	84.255.782	\$ (2.347.057)	\$ 1	01.696.787

<sup>(1)</sup> Wastewater Appendices - page 2 Section I (2) Wastewater Appendices - page 1

Total

<sup>(3)</sup> Actual timing of payment varies. Calculated P&I above assumes City's estimated average cost of outstanding system debt and constant annual debt service payments.

<sup>(4)</sup> Wastewater Appendices - page 6

#### Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Revenue Test Wastewater Service Area: Pecan Creek

<u>Year</u>	Impact <u>Fee</u>	Service <u>Units</u>	Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>		Annual Expenses		Sub-Total	Accumulated Interest		E	Estimated Fund <u>Balance</u>
Initial										\$	-
1	\$ 11,615	762	\$ 8,84	6,221	\$ 3,601,7	35 \$	5,244,486	\$	65,556		5,310,042
2	11,615	762	8,84	6,221	4,340,2	04	4,506,018		189,076		10,005,136
3	11,615	762	8,84	6,221	4,396,8	56	4,449,365		305,745		14,760,247
4	11,615	762	8,84	6,221	4,452,4	95	4,393,726		423,928		19,577,900
5	11,615	762	8,84	6,221	4,507,1	48	4,339,074		543,686		24,460,660
6	11,615	762	8,84	6,221	4,560,8	40	4,285,382		665,084		29,411,125
7	11,615	762	8,84	6,221	4,613,5	96	4,232,625		788,186		34,431,936
8	11,615	762	8,84	6,221	4,665,4	42	4,180,780		913,058		39,525,774
9	11,615	762	8,84	6,221	4,716,3	99	4,129,822		1,039,767		44,695,364
10	11,615	762	8,84	6,221	5,447,2	65	3,398,956		1,159,871		49,254,191
11	-	-		-	5,196,7	14	(5,196,714)		1,166,396		45,223,873
12	-	-		-	5,196,7	14	(5,196,714)		1,065,638		41,092,796
13	-	-		-	5,196,7	14	(5,196,714)		962,361		36,858,443
14	-	-		$\prec$	5,196,7	14	(5,196,714)		856,502		32,518,231
15	-	-		-	5,196,7	14	(5,196,714)		747,997		28,069,513
16	-	-		- 1	5,196,7	14	(5,196,714)		636,779		23,509,578
17	-	-		-	5,196,7	14	(5,196,714)		522,781		18,835,644
18	-	-		-	5,196,7	14	(5,196,714)		405,932		14,044,862
19	-	-		-	5,196,7	14	(5,196,714)		286,163		9,134,310
20	-	-		-	5,196,7	14	(5,196,714)		163,399		4,100,995
21	-	-		-	885,5		(885,533)		91,456		3,306,918
22	-	-		-	787,1		(787,140)		72,834		2,592,612
23	-	-		-	688,7		(688,748)		56,206		1,960,070
24	-	-		-	590,3		(590,355)		41,622		1,411,337
25	-	-		-	491,9		(491,963)		29,134		948,508
26	-	-		-	393,5		(393,570)		18,793		573,731
27	-	-		-	295,1		(295,178)		10,654		289,207
28	-	-		-	196,7	85	(196,785)		4,770		97,193
29	-	-		-	98,3		(98,393)		1,200		-
			88,46	2,214	101,696,7	87		1	3,234,573		


#### Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Wastewater Service Area: Pecan Creek

		Future Value						
	Number of	Interest	Recovery					
	Years to	Rate	Fee	Annual Se	rvice Units	Annual I	Ехр	ense
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<b>Escalated</b>	<u>Actual</u>		<b>Escalated</b>
1	29	2.0215	1.0000	762	1,540	\$ 3,601,735	\$	7,280,733
2	28	1.9721	1.0000	762	1,502	4,340,204	·	8,559,522
3	27	1.9240	1.0000	762	1,465	4,396,856		8,459,755
4	26	1.8771	1.0000	762	1,430	4,452,495		8,357,861
5	25	1.8313	1.0000	762	1,395	4,507,148		8,254,098
6	24	1.7867	1.0000	762	1,361	4,560,840		8,148,708
7	23	1.7431	1.0000	762	1,328	4,613,596		8,041,918
8	22	1.7006	1.0000	762	1,295	4,665,442		7,933,941
9	21	1.6591	1.0000	762	1,264	4,716,399		7,824,974
10	20	1.6186	1.0000	762	1,233	5,447,265		8,817,125
11	19	1.5792	1.0000		_	5,196,714		8,206,415
12	18	1.5406	1.0000	-	_	5,196,714		8,006,258
13	17	1.5031	1.0000	-	_	5,196,714		7,810,983
14	16	1.4664	1.0000	-	_	5,196,714		7,620,472
15	15	1.4306	1.0000	_	_	5,196,714		7,434,607
16	14	1.3957	1.0000		_	5,196,714		7,253,275
17	13	1.3617	1.0000		-	5,196,714		7,076,366
18	12	1.3285	1.0000		_	5,196,714		6,903,771
19	11	1.2961	1.0000		-	5,196,714		6,735,387
20	10	1.2645	1.0000	-	-	5,196,714		6,571,109
21	9	1.2336	1.0000	-	-	885,533		1,092,422
22	8	1.2035	1.0000	-	-	787,140		947,358
23	7	1.1742	1.0000	-	-	688,748		808,720
24	6	1.1456	1.0000	-	-	590,355		676,282
25	5	1.1176	1.0000	-	-	491,963		549,823
26	4	1.0904	1.0000	-	-	393,570		429,130
27	3	1.0638	1.0000	-	-	295,178		313,997
28	2	1.0378	1.0000	-	-	196,785		204,226
29	1	1.0125	1.0000	-	-	98,393		99,622
				_	13,811		\$	160,418,856
		Annual Interest Rat	e:			2.50%		
	\$ -							
	_	160,418,856 -						
		\$ 160,418,856						
		Total Escalated Sei	vice Units		-	13,811		
			\$ 11,615					

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Project Funding Wastewater Service Area: Pecan Creek

		Cost In		Impact Fee	Debt Funded <sup>(2)</sup>				Non-Debt		Impact Fee	
Impact Fee Project Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Se	ervice Area (1)	R	ecoverable Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	<u> </u>	xisting	Pro	posed		Funded <sup>(2)</sup>	Rec	overable Cost
Cooper Creek Interceptor III	\$	1,277,970	\$	26,887	\$	26,887	\$	-	\$	-	\$	26,887
Cooper Creek Outfall (Loop 288)		3,898,807		411,553		411,553		-		-		411,553
Graveyard Branch Interceptor		5,004,952		484,130		484,130		-		-		484,130
Pecan Creek Interceptor (Ph 1 & 2)		3,363,189		257,047		257,047		-		-		257,047
Pecan Creek Interceptor I		1,975,672		123,815		123,815		-		-		123,815
Pecan Creek Interceptor II		1,862,175		103,913		103,913		-		-		103,913
Pecan Creek Interceptor III		3,079,149		172,497		172,497		-		-		172,497
Pecan Creek Interceptor IV		978,285		77,121		77,121		-		-		77,121
Pecan WRP Expansion (6 MGD)		30,005,125		25,004,271	2	25,004,271		-		-		25,004,271
Cooper Creek Interceptor I		2,632,000		309,649		266,084		-		43,565		309,649
Cooper Creek Interceptor II		1,128,000		113,537		97,563		-		15,974		113,537
PCWRP Headworks Facility		56,260,000		12,333,923		2,333,923		-		-		12,333,923
PCWRP 5.0 MGD Expansion to 26 MGD		120,000,000		16,800,000		6,800,000		-		-		16,800,000
North Loop 288 Sewer Line (12"- 15")		7,980,000		1,750,452		-	1	,750,452		-		1,750,452
Mingo Road Sewer Line (12")		4,380,000		2,580,649			2	,580,649		-		2,580,649
Lakeview Interceptor Replacement (24" - 30")		2,367,000		399,875		-		399,875		-		399,875
Lakeview South Lift Station		8,300,000		2,191,051		-	2	,191,051		-		2,191,051
Grissom Sewer Line (15")		2,960,000		927,382		-		927,382		-		927,382
Lakeview Ranch Sewer Line (24")		5,820,000		1,009,502		-	1	,009,502		-		1,009,502
United Copper Sewer Line (12"-24")		9,500,000		1,193,632		-	1	,193,632		-		1,193,632
Cooper Creek Sewer Line Replacement (18"- 24")		3,590,000		147,710		-		147,710		-		147,710
Pecan Creek Interceptor Replacement (36")		3,890,000		1,349,783		-	1	,349,783		-		1,349,783
Stella Hills Lift Station, Force Main, and Onsite Sewer Line Oversize		4,934,730		639,957		-		639,957		-		639,957
East McKinney Sreet Sewer Line (15" - 21")		3,180,000		473,921		-		473,921		-		473,921
North Trinity Lift Station and Force Main Oversize		5,858,000		873,029		-		873,029		-		873,029
North Trinity Road Sewer Line Oversize (15")		527,000		78,540		-		78,540		-		78,540
Wastewater Impact Fee Report Preparation		50,020		50,020		-		-		50,020		50,020
Total	\$	294.802.074	\$	69.883.844	\$ 5	6.158.803	\$ 13	615.482	\$	109.559	\$	69.883.844

<sup>(1)</sup> Table 3.1 Wastewater Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost



<sup>(2)</sup> Per discussions with City staff and City files

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Credit Determination Wastewater Service Area: Pecan Creek

2024 Service Units<sup>(1)</sup> 82,096 Ten Year Growth in Service Units<sup>(1)</sup> Annual Growth in Service Units

		1 2			3		4		5		6	7		8		9		10		Total	
Debt Service for Debt Funded Projects Eligible for Impact Fees (2) Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources	_		_	,409,574	_	, ,	_	, ,		, . , .	-	1,803,144 1.803.144									\$ 47,539,479 \$ 47,539,479
Current Service Units	Ψ	82,858	ΨŦ	83,619	ΨŦ	84,381	Ψ	85,142		85,904	Ψ-	86,666	Ψ ¬,	87,427		88,189	Ψυ	88,951	Ψυ	89,712	Ψ 47,000,470
Total Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources per Service Unit	\$	52.03	\$	52.73	\$	53.42	\$	54.10	\$	54.77	\$	55.42	\$	56.06	\$	56.70	\$	57.32	\$	57.93	
Annual Growth in Service Units (Cumulative)		762		1,523		2,285		3,046		3,808		4,570		5,331		6,093		6,855		7,616	
Service Unit for Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources	\$	39,628	\$	80,326	\$	122,066	\$	164,820	\$	208,560	\$	253,260	\$ 2	298,896	\$ 3	345,444	\$	392,879	\$	441,179	\$ 2,347,057

Credit Amount 2,347,057

<sup>(1)</sup> Derived from Table 3.3 10-year Additional Single-Family Equivalent Calculation (2) Wastewater Appendices - page 2 Section II

City of Denton - 2024 Wastewater Impact Fee Update Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Wastewater Service Area Hickory Creek

0	Existing Fund Balance	\$ -
1	Existing Number of Service Units Over Entire City	92.000
1		82,096
2	Additional Service Units Added During Planning Period In the Service Area	35,412
3	Total Cost of the Wastewater Impact Fee CIP	\$ 685,550,867
4	Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee Planning Period	\$ 477,843,160
	Percent Recoverable for Wastewater Impact Fee Planning Period	
5	(Line 4 / Line 3)	69.70%
6	Financing Costs (From Financial Analysis)	\$ 212,931,397
7	Interest Earnings (From Financial Analysis)	\$ (140,094,955)
	Recoverable Cost of Wastewater Impact Fee and Financing Costs Less Balance	
8	(Line 5 + Line 7 + Line 8 - Line 0)	\$ 550,679,602
9	Pre-Credit Maximum Fee (Line 8 / Line 2)	\$ 15,551
	Credit for Utility Revenues	
10	(From Financial Analysis)	\$ (43,436,450)
	Recoverable Cost of Wastewater Impact Fee and Financing	
11	(Line 8 + Line 10)	\$ 507,243,151
	Maximum Assessable Fee	
12	(Line 11 / Line 2)	\$ 14,324

#### SUMMARY OF WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE DETERMINATION

Wastewater Service Area: Hickory Creek

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 477,843,160	Table 3.1
Financing Cost	212,931,397	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	-	Wastewater Appendices - page 1
Interest Earnings	(140,094,955)	Wastewater Appendices - page 3
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 550,679,602	Sum of Above
Credit for Utility Revenues	(43,436,450)	Wastewater Appendices - page 6
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 507,243,151	

#### Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees.

Reference is Table 3.1 Wastewater Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost

#### Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interest costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 674,051,068 Wastewater Appendices - page 2
Existing Annual Debt Service	15,754,514 Wastewater Appendices - page 2
Principal Component (New and Existing Debt)	(476,874,185) Wastewater Appendices - page 1
Financing Costs	\$ 212.931.397

#### **Existing Fund Balance:**

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2018 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates.

To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Wastewater Appendices.

#### Interest Earnings

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 1.89% annual interest rate.

The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of Accumulated Interest on page 3 of Wastewater Appendices.

#### Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Existing Fund Balance and Interest Earnings.

#### Credit for Utility Revenues

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes utility revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects. Reference is page 6 of Wastewater Appendices.

#### Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Utility Revenues.

This is the maximum cost that can be recovered through impact fees.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Wastewater Service Area: Hickory Creek

#### I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits<sup>(1)</sup> Annual Service Unit Growth (2) Existing Fund Balance<sup>(3)</sup>

2.50% 3,541

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt<sup>(4)</sup> Non-debt Funded Project Cost (5) New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt<sup>(6)</sup> Total Recoverable Project Cost<sup>(7)</sup>

10,500,818 968,975 466,373,367

\$ 477,843,160

#### II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal <sup>(8)</sup>	Interest <sup>(9)</sup>	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 46,637,337	3.80%	20
2	46,637,337	3.80%	20
3	46,637,337	3.80%	20
4	46,637,337	3.80%	20
5	46,637,337	3.80%	20
6	46,637,337	3.80%	20
7	46,637,337	3.80%	20
8	46,637,337	3.80%	20
9	46,637,337	3.80%	20
10	46,637,337	3.80%	20
Total	\$ 466,373,367		

#### **III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions**

<u>Year</u>	Annual Capital Expenditures <sup>(10)</sup>
1	\$ 23,415,566
2	46,734,234
3	46,734,234
4	46,734,234
5	46,734,234
6	46,734,234
7	46,734,234
8	46,734,234
9	46,734,234
10	70,052,903
Total	\$ 467.342.342

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff
- (2) Derived from Table 3.3 10-year Additional Single-Family Equivalent Calculation
- (3) Balance from 03/30/2024 provided by City Staff
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (5) From allocation per City Staff; assumes 0% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt
- (6) This assumes 100% of new project costs funded through new debt issues
- (7) Table 3.1 Wastewater Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Estimated interest on future debt per discussions with City Staff
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 2-year timeframe. Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated in equal annual amounts

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Debt Service and Expense Summary Wastewater Service Area: Hickory Creek

#### I. New Debt Service Detail

Year	Series	Series	Series <u>3</u>	Series <u>4</u>	Series <u>5</u>	Series <u>6</u>	Series <u>7</u>	Series <u>8</u>	Series	Series 10	Annual New Debt <u>Service</u>
1	\$ 3,370,255	•	\$ - \$	- \$	- \$	-	\$ - 5	- \$	-	\$ - \$	3,370,255
2	3,370,255	3,370,255	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,740,511
3	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,110,766
4	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255		-	-	-	-	-	13,481,021
5	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	-	-	-	-	-	16,851,277
6	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	-	-	-	-	20,221,532
7	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	-	-	-	23,591,787
8	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	-	-	26,962,043
9	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	-	30,332,298
10	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	33,702,553
11	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	33,702,553
12	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	33,702,553
13	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	33,702,553
14	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	33,702,553
15	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	33,702,553
16	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	33,702,553
17	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	33,702,553
18	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	33,702,553
19	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	33,702,553
20	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	33,702,553
21	-	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	30,332,298
22	-	-	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	26,962,043
23	-	-	-	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	23,591,787
24	-	-	-	-	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	20,221,532
25	-	-	-	-		3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	16,851,277
26	-	-	-	-	_	-	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	13,481,021
27	-	-	-	-		-	-	3,370,255	3,370,255	3,370,255	10,110,766
28	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	3,370,255	3,370,255	6,740,511
29	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	3,370,255	3,370,255
	\$ 67,405,107	\$ 67,405,107	\$ 67,405,107 \$	67,405,107 \$	67,405,107 \$	67,405,107	\$ 67,405,107	67,405,107 \$	67,405,107	\$ 67,405,107 \$	674,051,068

#### II. Summary of Annual Expenses

	New				Existing		
	Annual		Annual	Annual	Annual		
	<u>Debt</u>		<u>Capital</u>	<u>Bond</u>	<u>Debt</u>	<u>Annual</u>	<u>Total</u>
Year	Service <sup>(1)</sup>	Exp	penditures (2)	Proceeds(2)	Service <sup>(3)</sup>	Credit <sup>(4)</sup>	Expense
1	\$ 3,370,255	\$	23,415,566	\$ (46,637,337)	\$ 787,726	\$ (171,935) \$	(19,235,725)
2	6,740,511		46,734,234	(46,637,337)	787,726	(597,873)	7,027,261
3	10,110,766		46,734,234	(46,637,337)	787,726	(1,248,710)	9,746,680
4	13,481,021		46,734,234	(46,637,337)	787,726	(2,099,626)	12,266,019
5	16,851,277		46,734,234	(46,637,337)	787,726	(3,129,324)	14,606,576
6	20,221,532		46,734,234	(46,637,337)	787,726	(4,319,425)	16,786,730
7	23,591,787		46,734,234	(46,637,337)	787,726	(5,653,987)	18,822,424
8	26,962,043		46,734,234	(46,637,337)	787,726	(7,119,111)	20,727,555
9	30,332,298		46,734,234	(46,637,337)	787,726	(8,702,627)	22,514,294
10	33,702,553		70,052,903	(46,637,337)	787,726	(10,393,833)	47,512,012
11	33,702,553		-	-	787,726	-	34,490,279
12	33,702,553		-	-	787,726	-	34,490,279
13	33,702,553		-	-	787,726	-	34,490,279
14	33,702,553		-	-	787,726	-	34,490,279
15	33,702,553		-	-	787,726	-	34,490,279
16	33,702,553		-	-	787,726	-	34,490,279
17	33,702,553		-	-	787,726	-	34,490,279
18	33,702,553		-	-	787,726	-	34,490,279
19	33,702,553		-	-	787,726	-	34,490,279
20	33,702,553		-	-	787,726	-	34,490,279
21	30,332,298		-	-	-	-	30,332,298
22	26,962,043		-	-	-	-	26,962,043
23	23,591,787		-	-	-	-	23,591,787
24	20,221,532		-	-	-	-	20,221,532
25	16,851,277		-	-	-	-	16,851,277
26	13,481,021		-	-	-	-	13,481,021
27	10,110,766		-	-	-	-	10,110,766
28	6,740,511		-	-	-	-	6,740,511
29	3,370,255			-	-	-	3,370,255
	\$ 674,051,068	\$	467,342,342	\$ (466,373,367)	\$ 15,754,514	\$ (43,436,450) \$	647,338,107

<sup>(1)</sup> Wastewater Appendices - page 2 Section I

Total

<sup>(2)</sup> Wastewater Appendices - page 1

<sup>(3)</sup> Actual timing of payment varies. Calculated P&I above assumes City's estimated average cost of outstanding system debt and constant annual debt service payments.

<sup>(4)</sup> Wastewater Appendices - page 6

# Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Revenue Test

Wastewater Service Area: Hickory Creek

<u>Year</u>		oact ee	Service <u>Units</u>		Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>		Annual Expenses	Sub-Total		Accumulated <u>Interest</u>			Estimated Fund <u>Balance</u>
Initial													\$ -
1	\$ 1	4,324	3,541	\$	50,724,315	\$	(19,235,725)	\$	69,960,040	\$		874,501	70,834,541
2	1	4,324	3,541		50,724,315		7,027,261		43,697,054			2,317,077	116,848,671
3	1	4,324	3,541		50,724,315		9,746,680		40,977,636			3,433,437	161,259,744
4	1	4,324	3,541		50,724,315		12,266,019		38,458,296			4,512,222	204,230,263
5	1	4,324	3,541		50,724,315		14,606,576		36,117,739			5,557,228	245,905,230
6	1	4,324	3,541		50,724,315		16,786,730		33,937,585			6,571,851	286,414,666
7	1	4,324	3,541		50,724,315		18,822,424		31,901,891			7,559,140	325,875,697
8	1	4,324	3,541		50,724,315		20,727,555		29,996,760			8,521,852	364,394,310
9	1	4,324	3,541		50,724,315		22,514,294		28,210,021			9,462,483	402,066,814
10	1	4,324	3,541		50,724,315		47,512,012		3,212,303		1	10,091,824	415,370,941
11		-	-		-		34,490,279		(34,490,279)			9,953,145	390,833,807
12		-	-		-		34,490,279		(34,490,279)			9,339,717	365,683,244
13		-	-		-		34,490,279		(34,490,279)			8,710,953	339,903,918
14		-	-		-		34,490,279		(34,490,279)			8,066,469	313,480,108
15		-	-		-		34,490,279		(34,490,279)			7,405,874	286,395,704
16		-	-		-		34,490,279		(34,490,279)			6,728,764	258,634,189
17		-	-		-		34,490,279		(34,490,279)			6,034,726	230,178,636
18		-	-		- '		34,490,279		(34,490,279)			5,323,337	201,011,694
19		-	-		-		34,490,279		(34,490,279)			4,594,164	171,115,579
20		-	-		-		34,490,279		(34,490,279)			3,846,761	140,472,061
21		-	-		-		30,332,298		(30,332,298)			3,132,648	113,272,410
22		-	-		-		26,962,043		(26,962,043)			2,494,785	88,805,153
23		-	-		-		23,591,787		(23,591,787)			1,925,231	67,138,597
24		-	-				20,221,532		(20,221,532)			1,425,696	48,342,760
25		-	-		-		16,851,277		(16,851,277)			997,928	32,489,412
26		-	-		-		13,481,021		(13,481,021)			643,723	19,652,113
27		-	-		-		10,110,766		(10,110,766)			364,918	9,906,265
28		•	-		-		6,740,511		(6,740,511)			163,400	3,329,155
29		-	-	_	-		3,370,255		(3,370,255)			41,101	-
					507,243,152		647,338,107				14	10,094,955	

#### Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Wastewater Service Area: Hickory Creek

	Number of	Interest	Recovery				
	Years to	Rate	Fee	Annual Se	rvice Units	Annual I	Expense
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<b>Escalated</b>	<u>Actual</u>	<b>Escalated</b>
4	20	2.0245	1 0000	2.544	7.450	¢ (40.225.725)	Ф (20.004.000)
1	29	2.0215	1.0000	3,541	7,158	\$ (19,235,725)	
2	28	1.9721	1.0000	3,541	6,984	7,027,261	13,858,796
3	27	1.9240	1.0000	3,541	6,813	9,746,680	18,753,063
4	26	1.8771	1.0000	3,541	6,647	12,266,019	23,024,769
5	25	1.8313	1.0000	3,541	6,485	14,606,576	26,749,534
6	24	1.7867	1.0000	3,541	6,327	16,786,730	29,992,319
7 8	23 22	1.7431	1.0000	3,541	6,173	18,822,424	32,809,198
9		1.7006	1.0000	3,541	6,022	20,727,555	35,248,795
10	21 20	1.6591	1.0000	3,541	5,875	22,514,294	37,353,446
11	19	1.6186	1.0000	3,541	5,732	47,512,012	76,904,526
11	18	1.5792	1.0000		-	34,490,279	54,465,478
13	17	1.5406	1.0000	-	-	34,490,279	53,137,051
14	16	1.5031 1.4664	1.0000 1.0000	-	-	34,490,279 34,490,279	51,841,026 50,576,611
15	15	1.4306	1.0000	-	-	34,490,279	49,343,035
16	14	1.3957	1.0000	-		34,490,279	48,139,546
17	13	1.3617	1.0000		-	34,490,279	46,965,411
18	12	1.3285	1.0000			34,490,279	45,819,913
19	11	1.2961	1.0000			34,490,279	44,702,354
20	10	1.2645	1.0000			34,490,279	43,612,053
21	9	1.2336	1.0000			30,332,298	37,418,922
22	8	1.2035	1.0000			26,962,043	32,450,014
23	7	1.1742	1.0000			23,591,787	27,701,231
24	6	1.1456	1.0000	_	_	20,221,532	23,164,793
25	5	1.1176	1.0000	_	_	16,851,277	18,833,165
26	4	1.0904	1.0000	-	_	13,481,021	14,699,055
27	3	1.0638	1.0000	_	_	10,110,766	10,755,406
28	2	1.0378	1.0000	_	_	6,740,511	6,995,386
29	1	1.0125	1.0000	_	_	3,370,255	3,412,384
				_	64,216	-,,	\$ 919,843,199
					- ,		,,,
		Annual Interest Rat	e:			2.50%	
		Present Value of Ini	itial Impact Fee F	Fund Balance		\$ -	
		Total Escalated Exp Less Future Value of				\$ 919,843,199	
		\$ 919,843,199					

**Total Escalated Service Units** 

Impact Fee for Wastewater Service Area

64,216

14,324

\$

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Project Funding Wastewater Service Area: Hickory Creek

		Cost In		Impact Fee	Debt Funded <sup>(2)</sup>					Non-Debt	Impact Fee	
Impact Fee Project Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Se	ervice Area (1)	R	ecoverable Cost <sup>(1)</sup>		Existing		Proposed	ļ	Funded <sup>(2)</sup>	R	ecoverable Cost
Krum Sewer Line	\$	398,450	\$	87,775	\$	87,775	\$	-	\$	-	\$	87,775
Leatherwood Interceptor		156,592		4,616		4,616		-		-		4,616
Hickory Creek Interceptor I		1,447,500		1,058,753		1,052,544		-		6,208		1,058,753
Hickory Creek Interceptor II		8,102,500		3,120,135		3,101,839		-		18,296		3,120,135
Hickory Creek Interceptor III		2,875,433		721,323		566,544		-		154,779		721,323
Hickory Creek Lift Station Detention Facility		9,900,000		6,435,000		5,687,500		-		747,500		6,435,000
Roark Branch Interceptor Oversize (27" - 42")		2,810,200		829,989		-		829,989		-		829,989
Cole Ranch Interceptor Oversize (36"- 42")		4,809,000		4,809,000		-		4,809,000		-		4,809,000
North Cole Ranch Sewer Line (36")		7,310,000		4,377,794		-		4,377,794		-		4,377,794
Legends Sewer Line (12"- 15")		32,080,000		32,080,000		-		32,080,000		-		32,080,000
Robson Ranch Sewer Line (12")		3,086,000		112,722		-		112,722		-		112,722
Robson West Lift Station, Force Main, and Sewer Line Oversize		13,079,000		1,438,690		-		1,438,690		-		1,438,690
North Masch Sewer Line (12"- 18")		8,680,000		2,965,667		-		2,965,667		-		2,965,667
Masch Sewer Line Replacement (15"- 18")		5,560,000		3,003,678		-		3,003,678		-		3,003,678
Dry Fork Sewer Line (18")		3,620,000		3,620,000		-		3,620,000		-		3,620,000
Hickory Creek Peak Flow Basin Improvements		23,400,000		4,056,000		-		4,056,000		-		4,056,000
HCWRP 10.0 MGD MBR Plant		448,600,000		327,673,043		-		327,673,043		-		327,673,043
C Wolfe Road Interceptor (36")		18,120,000		11,127,241		-		11,127,241		-		11,127,241
TN Skiles Road Interceptor (36")		14,420,000		6,428,193		-		6,428,193		-		6,428,193
Ponder Sewer Line (12"- 15")		5,230,000		4,480,738		-		4,480,738		-		4,480,738
South Hickory Creek Sewer Line (24")		22,580,000		11,848,576		-		11,848,576		-		11,848,576
Wolf Branch Sewer Line (12"- 21")		18,040,000		17,774,706		-		17,774,706		-		17,774,706
Sanctuary Sewer Line (12"- 18")		4,280,000		4,280,000		-		4,280,000		-		4,280,000
Meadows Sewer Line (12")		2,130,000		850,617		-		850,617		-		850,617
Cole Ranch West Sewer Line Oversize (15"- 18")		294,000		116,714		-		116,714		-		116,714
Ponder Farms/Webster Meadows Lift Station and Force Main		11,500,000		11,500,000		-		11,500,000		-		11,500,000
Ponder Farms/Webster Meadows Interceptor (15"-21")		13,000,000		13,000,000		-		13,000,000		-		13,000,000
Wastewater Impact Fee Report Preparation		42,192		42,192		-		-		42,192		42,192
Total	\$	685,550,867	\$	477,843,160	\$	10,500,818	\$	466,373,367	\$	968,975	\$	477,843,160

<sup>(1)</sup> Table 3.1 Wastewater Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost (2) Per discussions with City staff and City files

City of Denton - 2024 Wastewater Impact Fee Update
Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Credit Determination
Wastewater Service Area: Hickory Creek

2024 Service Units<sup>(1)</sup> 82,096 Ten Year Growth in Service Units<sup>(1)</sup> 35,412 Annual Growth in Service Units

	1	1 2 3 4		5	6	7	8	9	Total		
Debt Service for Debt Funded Projects Eligible for Impact Fees Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources											\$ 193,241,301 \$ 193,241,301
Current Service Units	85,637	89,178	92,719	96,261	99,802	103,343	106,884	110,425	113,966	117,508	
Total Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources per Service Unit	\$ 48.55	\$ 84.42	\$ 117.54	\$ 148.23	\$ 176.74	\$ 203.30	\$ 228.09	\$ 251.30	\$ 273.06	\$ 293.52	
Annual Growth in Service Units (Cumulative)	3,541	7,082	10,623	14,165	17,706	21,247	24,788	28,329	31,870	35,412	
Annual Wastewater Rate Revenue Generated by Service Unit for Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt	\$ 171,935	\$ 597,873	\$ 1,248,710	\$ 2,099,626	\$ 3,129,324	\$ 4,319,425	\$ 5,653,987	\$ 7,119,111	\$ 8,702,627	\$ 10,393,833	\$ 43,436,450

43,436,450

Credit Amount

<sup>(1)</sup> Derived from Table 3.3 10-year Additional Single-Family Equivalent Calculation (2) Wastewater Appendices - page 2 Section II

City of Denton - 2024 Wastewater Impact Fee Update Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Wastewater Service Area Clear Creek

	Existing Fund Balance		
0	Existing Fund balance	\$	-
1	Existing Number of Service Units Over Entire City		02.006
1			82,096
2	Additional Service Units Added During Planning Period In the Service Area		9,248
3	Total Cost of the Wastewater Impact Fee CIP	\$	242,082,508
		Ť	_ :=,00=,000
4	Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee Planning Period	\$	139,254,667
	Percent Recoverable for Wastewater Impact Fee Planning Period		
5	(Line 4 / Line 3)		57.52%
	Financing Costs (From Financial Analysis)		
6	That on B costs (From Financial Financial)	\$	46,901,957
7	Interest Earnings (From Financial Analysis)	\$	(17,287,316)
	Recoverable Cost of Wastewater Impact Fee and Financing Costs Less Balance		
8	(Line 5 + Line 7 + Line 8 - Line 0)	\$	168,869,308
9	Pre-Credit Maximum Fee (Line 8 / Line 2)	\$	18,260
	Credit for Utility Revenues	~	10,200
10	(From Financial Analysis)	\$	(3,915,532)
	Recoverable Cost of Wastewater Impact Fee and Financing		,
11	(Line 8 + Line 10)	\$	164,953,776
_	Maximum Assessable Fee		
12	(Line 11 / Line 2)	\$	17,836

#### SUMMARY OF WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE DETERMINATION

Wastewater Service Area: Pecan Creek

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 139,254,667	Table 3.1
Financing Cost	46,901,957	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	-	Wastewater Appendices - page 1
Interest Earnings	(17,287,316)	Wastewater Appendices - page 3
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 168,869,308	Sum of Above
Credit for Utility Revenues	(3,915,532)	Wastewater Appendices - page 6
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 164,953,776	

#### Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees.

Reference is Table 3.1 Wastewater Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost

#### Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interest costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 19,016,052 Wastewater Appendices - page 2
Existing Annual Debt Service	123,077,765 Wastewater Appendices - page 2
Principal Component (New and Existing Debt)	(95,191,861) Wastewater Appendices - page 1
Financing Costs	\$ 46.901.957

#### **Existing Fund Balance:**

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2018 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates.

To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Wastewater Appendices.

#### Interest Earnings

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 1.89% annual interest rate.

The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of Accumulated Interest on page 3 of Wastewater Appendices.

#### Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Existing Fund Balance and Interest Earnings.

#### Credit for Utility Revenues

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes utility revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects. Reference is page 6 of Wastewater Appendices.

#### Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Utility Revenues.

This is the maximum cost that can be recovered through impact fees.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Wastewater Service Area: Pecan Creek

#### I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits<sup>(1)</sup>

Annual Service Unit Growth<sup>(2)</sup>

Existing Fund Balance<sup>(3)</sup>

\$
-

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt<sup>(4)</sup>

Non-debt Funded Project Cost<sup>(5)</sup>

New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt<sup>(6)</sup>

Total Recoverable Project Cost<sup>(7)</sup>

\$ 82,034,726

44,062,806

13,157,134

\$ 139,254,667

#### II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal <sup>(8)</sup>	Interest <sup>(9)</sup>	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 1,315,713	3.80%	20
2	1,315,713	3.80%	20
3	1,315,713	3.80%	20
4	1,315,713	3.80%	20
5	1,315,713	3.80%	20
6	1,315,713	3.80%	20
7	1,315,713	3.80%	20
8	1,315,713	3.80%	20
9	1,315,713	3.80%	20
10	1,315,713	3.80%	20
Total	\$ 13,157,134		-

#### III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Annua Capita Expenditur	ı
1	\$ 5,06	4,137
2	5,72	1,994
3	5,72	1,994
4	5,72	1,994
5	5,72	1,994
6	5,72	1,994
7	5,72	1,994
8	5,72	1,994
9	5,72	1,994
10	6,37	9,851
Total	\$ 57.21	9 940

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff
- (2) Derived from Table 3.3 10-year Additional Single-Family Equivalent Calculation
- (3) Balance from 03/30/2024 provided by City Staff
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (5) From allocation per City Staff; assumes 0% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt
- (6) This assumes 100% of new project costs funded through new debt issues
- (7) Table 3.1 Wastewater Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Estimated interest on future debt per discussions with City Staff
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 2-year timeframe.

  Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated in equal annual amounts

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Debt Service and Expense Summary Wastewater Service Area: Pecan Creek

#### I. New Debt Service Detail

<u>Year</u>	Series <u>1</u>	Series	Series	Series	Series <u>5</u>	Series	Series	Series	Series <u>9</u>	Series 10	Annual New Debt <u>Service</u>
1 \$			- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-	\$ - \$	95,080
2	95,080	95,080	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	190,161
3	95,080	95,080	95,080	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	285,241
4	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	-	-	-	-	-	-	380,321
5	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	-	-	-	-	-	475,401
6	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	-	-	-	-	570,482
7	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	-	-	-	665,562
8	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	-	-	760,642
9	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	-	855,722
10	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	950,803
11	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	950,803
12	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	950,803
13	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	950,803
14	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	950,803
15	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	950,803
16	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	950,803
17	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	950,803
18	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	950,803
19	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	950,803
20	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	950,803
21	-	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	855,722
22	-	-	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	760,642
23	-	-	-	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	665,562
24	-	-	-	-	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	570,482
25	-	-	-	-	-	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	475,401
26	-	-	-	-	-	-	95,080	95,080	95,080	95,080	380,321
27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	95,080	95,080	95,080	285,241
28	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	95,080	95,080	190,161
29	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	95,080	95,080
\$	1,901,605 \$	1,901,605 \$	1,901,605 \$	1,901,605 \$	1,901,605 \$	1,901,605 \$	1,901,605 \$	1,901,605 \$	1,901,605	\$ 1,901,605 \$	19,016,052

#### II. Summary of Annual Expenses

		New	xisting									
	Annual Debt Year Service <sup>(1)</sup> 1 \$ 95,08 2 190,16 3 285,24 4 380,32 5 475,46 6 570,48 7 665,56 8 760,64 9 855,77 10 950,80 11 950,80 12 950,80 13 950,80 14 950,80 15 950,80 16 950,80 17 950,80 18 950,80 19 950,80		Annua		Annual		nnual					
			<u>Capital</u>		<u>Bond</u>		<u>Debt</u>		<u>Annual</u>		<u>Total</u>	
Y	ear	Service <sup>(1)</sup>	Expenditur	es <sup>(2)</sup> F	Proceeds <sup>(2)</sup>	Se	ervice <sup>(3)</sup>		Credit <sup>(4)</sup>	<u>E&gt;</u>	pense	
	1 \$	95,080	\$ 5,06	1,137 \$	(1,315,713)	\$ 6	6,153,888	\$	(69,612)	\$ 9	9,927,781	
:	2	190,161	5,72	1,994	(1,315,713)	6	6,153,888		(139,785)	10	0,610,545	
	3	285,241	5,72	1,994	(1,315,713)	6	6,153,888		(210,500)	10	0,634,909	
	4	380,321	5,72	1,994	(1,315,713)	6	6,153,888		(281,741)	10	0,658,749	
	5	475,401	5,72	1,994	(1,315,713)	6	6,153,888		(353,491)	10	0,682,079	
		570,482	5,72	1,994	(1,315,713)	6	6,153,888		(425,733)	10	0,704,918	
		665,562	5,72	1,994	(1,315,713)	6	6,153,888		(498,452)	10	0,727,279	
		760,642	5,72	1,994	(1,315,713)	6	6,153,888		(571,632)	10	0,749,178	
		855,722	5,72	1,994	(1,315,713)	6	6,153,888		(645,261)	10	0,770,630	
		950,803	6,37	9,851	(1,315,713)	6	6,153,888		(719,325)		1,449,503	
1	1	950,803		-	-	6	6,153,888		-	7	7,104,691	
1	2	950,803		-	-	6	6,153,888		-	7	7,104,691	
1	3	950,803		-	-	6	6,153,888		-	7	7,104,691	
		950,803		-	-		6,153,888		-	7	7,104,691	
		950,803		-	-	6	6,153,888		-		7,104,691	
		950,803		-	-	6	6,153,888		-		7,104,691	
1	7	950,803		-	-	6	6,153,888		-	7	7,104,691	
		950,803		-	-		6,153,888		-		7,104,691	
		950,803		-	-	6	6,153,888		-	7	7,104,691	
		950,803		-	-	6	6,153,888		-	7	7,104,691	
		855,722		-	-		-		-		855,722	
	2	760,642		-	-		-		-		760,642	
	3	665,562		-	-		-		-		665,562	
	4	570,482		-	-		-		-		570,482	
	5	475,401		-	-		-		-		475,401	
	:6	380,321		-	-		-		-		380,321	
	7	285,241		-	-		-		-		285,241	
	8	190,161		-	-		-		-	190,161		
2	.9	95,080		-	-		-		-		95,080	
	\$	19,016,052	\$ 57,21	9,940 \$	(13,157,134)	\$ 123	3,077,765	\$	(3,915,532)	\$ 182	2,241,092	

<sup>(1)</sup> Wastewater Appendices - page 2 Section I (2) Wastewater Appendices - page 1

Total

<sup>(3)</sup> Actual timing of payment varies. Calculated P&I above assumes City's estimated average cost of outstanding system debt and constant annual debt service payments.

<sup>(4)</sup> Wastewater Appendices - page 6

#### Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Revenue Test Wastewater Service Area: Pecan Creek

<u>Year</u>	Impact <u>Fee</u>	Service <u>Units</u>	Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>	Annual Expenses	<u>Sub-Total</u>	Accumulated Interest	Estimated Fund <u>Balance</u>
Initial							\$ -
1	\$ 17,836	925	\$ 16,495,378	\$ 9,927,781	\$ 6,567,597		6,649,692
2	17,836	925	16,495,378	10,610,545	5,884,833	239,803	12,774,327
3	17,836	925	16,495,378	10,634,909	5,860,468	392,614	19,027,410
4	17,836	925	16,495,378	10,658,749	5,836,629	548,643	25,412,682
5	17,836	925	16,495,378	10,682,079	5,813,298	707,983	31,933,963
6	17,836	925	16,495,378	10,704,918	5,790,460	870,730	38,595,153
7	17,836	925	16,495,378	10,727,279	5,768,098	1,036,980	45,400,231
8	17,836	925	16,495,378	10,749,178	5,746,199	1,206,833	52,353,264
9	17,836	925	16,495,378	10,770,630	5,724,748	1,380,391	59,458,402
10	17,836	925	16,495,378	11,449,503	5,045,874	1,549,533	66,053,810
11	-	-	-	7,104,691	(7,104,691)	1,562,537	60,511,656
12	-	-	-	7,104,691	(7,104,691)	1,423,983	54,830,948
13	-	-	-	7,104,691	(7,104,691)	1,281,965	49,008,222
14	-	-		7,104,691	(7,104,691)	1,136,397	43,039,928
15	-	-	-	7,104,691	(7,104,691)	987,190	36,922,427
16	-	-	-	7,104,691	(7,104,691)	834,252	30,651,988
17	-	-	-	7,104,691	(7,104,691)	677,491	24,224,788
18	-	-	-	7,104,691	(7,104,691)	516,811	17,636,908
19	-	-	-	7,104,691	(7,104,691)	352,114	10,884,331
20	-	-	-	7,104,691	(7,104,691)	183,300	3,962,940
21	-	-	-	855,722	(855,722)	88,377	3,195,595
22	-	-	-	760,642	(760,642)	70,382	2,505,335
23	-	-	-	665,562	(665,562)	54,314	1,894,087
24	-	-	-	570,482	(570,482)	40,221	1,363,826
25	-	-	-	475,401	(475,401)	28,153	916,578
26	-	-	-	380,321	(380,321)	18,160	554,417
27	_	-	-	285,241	(285,241)	10,295	279,471
28	-	-	-	190,161	(190,161)	4,610	93,921
29	-	-		95,080	(95,080)	1,160	<u>-</u>
			164,953,776	182,241,092	-	17,287,316	•

#### Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Wastewater Service Area: Pecan Creek

		Future Value	Escalation						
	Number of	Interest	Recovery						
	Years to	Rate	Fee	Annual Sei	rvice Units	Annual	Ехр	ense	
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<b>Escalated</b>	<u>Actual</u>		<b>Escalated</b>	
1	29	2.0215	1.0000	925	1,869	\$ 9,927,781	\$	20,068,524	
2	28	1.9721	1.0000	925	1,824	10,610,545	·	20,925,560	
3	27	1.9240	1.0000	925	1,779	10,634,909		20,462,058	
4	26	1.8771	1.0000	925	1,736	10,658,749		20,007,733	
5	25	1.8313	1.0000	925	1,694	10,682,079		19,562,466	
6	24	1.7867	1.0000	925	1,652	10,704,918		19,126,137	
7	23	1.7431	1.0000	925	1,612	10,727,279		18,698,624	
8	22	1.7006	1.0000	925	1,573	10,749,178		18,279,802	
9	21	1.6591	1.0000	925	1,534	10,770,630		17,869,543	
10	20	1.6186	1.0000	925	1,497	11,449,503		18,532,548	
11	19	1.5792	1.0000	_	_	7,104,691		11,219,404	
12	18	1.5406	1.0000	_	_	7,104,691		10,945,760	
13	17	1.5031	1.0000	_	_	7,104,691		10,678,790	
14	16	1.4664	1.0000	_	_	7,104,691		10,418,332	
15	15	1.4306	1.0000	_	_	7,104,691		10,164,226	
16	14	1.3957	1.0000		_	7,104,691		9,916,319	
17	13	1.3617	1.0000		-	7,104,691		9,674,457	
18	12	1.3285	1.0000		_	7,104,691		9,438,495	
19	11	1.2961	1.0000	_	-	7,104,691		9,208,288	
20	10	1.2645	1.0000	-	_	7,104,691		8,983,695	
21	9	1.2336	1.0000	-	-	855,722		1,055,647	
22	8	1.2035	1.0000	_	-	760,642		915,466	
23	7	1.1742	1.0000	-	-	665,562		781,496	
24	6	1.1456	1.0000	-	-	570,482		653,516	
25	5	1.1176	1.0000	-	-	475,401		531,314	
26	4	1.0904	1.0000	-	-	380,321		414,684	
27	3	1.0638	1.0000	-	-	285,241		303,427	
28	2	1.0378	1.0000	-	-	190,161		197,351	
29	1	1.0125	1.0000	-	-	95,080		96,269	
					16,771		\$	299,129,931	
		Annual Interest Rat	e:			2.50%			
		Present Value of In	itial Impact Fee	Fund Balance		\$ -			
		Total Escalated Exp							
		\$ 299,129,931							
		Sub-Total	-	•	\$ 299,129,931				
		Total Escalated Sei	vice Units			16,771	į		
		Impact Fee for Wa	stewater Servi	ce Area		\$ 17,836			

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Project Funding Wastewater Service Area: Pecan Creek

Impact Fee Project Name <sup>(1)</sup>	<u>Se</u>	Cost In rvice Area (1)	Re	Impact Fee ecoverable Cost <sup>(1)</sup>	Debt Fur		roposed	Non-Debt Funded <sup>(2)</sup>	mpact Fee overable Cost
CCWRP 2.5 MGD MBR Plant	\$	118,800,000	\$	82,034,726	\$ 82,034,726	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 82,034,726
Clear Creek Interceptor (27"- 42")		89,440,000		44,055,019	-		-	44,055,019	44,055,019
Milam Creek Sewer Line (18"- 24")		13,750,000		3,630,769	-		3,630,769	-	3,630,769
Denton ICC Sewer Line Oversize (18")		324,720		33,899	-		33,899	-	33,899
Ganzer Rd Sewer Line (12")		2,530,000		1,167,692	-		1,167,692	-	1,167,692
Beaver Creek Sewer Line (12")		2,910,000		1,062,150	-		1,062,150	-	1,062,150
North Milam Sewer Line (12"- 18")		6,890,000		3,667,912	-		3,667,912	-	3,667,912
Stark Farms Sewer Line (8"- 12")		1,340,000		760,372	-		760,372	-	760,372
East Clear Creek Sewer Line (8"- 15")		3,170,000		1,475,346	-		1,475,346	-	1,475,346
East Milam Sewer Line (12"- 15")		2,920,000		1,358,994	-		1,358,994	-	1,358,994
Wastewater Impact Fee Report Preparation		7,788		7,788			-	7,788	7,788
Total	\$	242,082,508	\$	139,254,667	\$ 82,034,726	\$	13,157,134	\$ 44,062,806	\$ 139,254,667

<sup>(1)</sup> Table 3.1 Wastewater Impact Fee Capital Improvements Project Cost and 10-Year Recoverable Cost (2) Per discussions with City staff and City files

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Credit Determination Wastewater Service Area: Pecan Creek

2024 Service Units<sup>(1)</sup> 82,096 Ten Year Growth in Service Units<sup>(1)</sup> Annual Growth in Service Units

		1		1 2		3 4		5 6		6	7 8		8	9 10		10	To	tal				
Debt Service for Debt Funded Projects Eligible for Impact Fees (2) Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources		- ,		, , , ,		5,439,129 5,439,129		5,534,209 5,534,209		6,629,290 6,629,290		6,724,370 6,724,370				,	_			7,104,691		
Current Service Units		83,021		83,946		84,870		85,795		86,720		87,645		88,570		89,495		90,419		91,344		
Total Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources per Service Unit	\$	75.27	\$	75.57	\$	75.87	\$	76.16	\$	76.44	\$	76.72	\$	77.00	\$	77.26	\$	77.52	\$	77.78		
Annual Growth in Service Units (Cumulative)		925		1,850		2,774		3,699		4,624		5,549		6,474		7,399		8,323		9,248		
Service Unit for Net Impact Fee Eligible Debt Service Funded by Other Sources	\$	69,612	\$	139,785	\$	210,500	\$	281,741	\$	353,491	\$	425,733	\$	498,452	\$ 5	571,632	\$	645,261	\$	719,325	\$ 3,9	15,532

Credit Amount 3,915,532

<sup>(1)</sup> Derived from Table 3.3 10-year Additional Single-Family Equivalent Calculation (2) Wastewater Appendices - page 2 Section II